

State of Arkansas

Consolidated Annual Performance and Evaluation Report

Reporting Period: July 1, 2013 through June 30, 2014

Lead Agency: Arkansas Economic Development Commission
900 West Capitol Avenue, Suite 400
Little Rock, Arkansas 72201

State of Arkansas

Consolidated Annual Performance and Evaluation Report

Reporting Period: July 1, 2013 to June 30, 2014

Table of Contents

Introduction	Page 1
A. Resources Made Available to the State.....	Page 1
B. Investment of Available Resources.....	Page 3
C. Households and Persons Assisted.....	Page 50
D. Consolidated Plan Affordable Housing Goals.....	Page 52
E. Other Actions.....	Page 59
F. Conclusions.....	Page 61

Appendix

Appendix 1: Arkansas Counties Geographic Designations

Appendix 2: FY 2013 HOME Program projects by Housing Activity and Geographic Designation

Appendix 3: Emergency Shelter Grants Projects – FY 2013

Appendix 4: HOPWA Program – FY 2013

Appendix 5: Legal Notice

STATE OF ARKANSAS

Consolidated Annual Performance and Evaluation Report

Reporting Period: July 1, 2013 to June 30, 2014

INTRODUCTION

This Consolidated Annual Performance and Evaluation Report (CAPER) provides data on the amount and use of housing and community development funds received from the U. S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) by the State of Arkansas during the program year, July 1, 2013 through June 30, 2014. The investment of housing and community development resources administered by Arkansas State Government is guided by the five-year Consolidated Plan published on May 15, 2010. The State develops and publishes an Annual Update to the Consolidated Plan for directing its federally funded housing and community development programs during the upcoming year, and each year the State publishes the CAPER for the preceding program year. The State's Consolidated Plan Committee oversees the long range and annual planning process. The Consolidated Plan Committee consists of representatives of the Arkansas Economic Development Commission (AEDC), the Arkansas Development Finance Authority (ADFA), the Arkansas Department of Health (ADH), and Arkansas Department of Human Services (ADHS).

A. RESOURCES MADE AVAILABLE TO THE STATE

During the Consolidated Plan program year (PY) ending June 30, 2014, the State received \$26,135,316 from HUD programs. In addition, the State allocated \$7,248,907 in Low-Income Housing Tax Credits and issued almost \$57,877,249 in mortgage revenue bonds.

Table 1 lists each program and indicates the amount anticipated to be received and the amounts actually received during the program year. The following statements explain any differences between actual and planned amounts.

- **Community Development Block Grant (CDBG)** program funding levels were consistent with anticipated amounts. Program income was estimated to be \$2,000,000. Program income was actually \$3,165,462.12 as of June 30, 2014, which is more than anticipated by \$1,165,462.12. This amount was added to the Arkansas Economic Development Commission budget for the FY 2013 allocation.
- **HOME** Program funding levels were consistent with anticipated amounts. Program income was estimated to be \$1,000,000. Program income was actually \$2,142,031, which is more than projected by \$1,142,031. Program income was used prior to entitlement funding.
- **Emergency Solutions Grants (ESG)** funding levels were consistent with anticipated amounts.
- **Housing Opportunities for Persons with AIDS (HOPWA)** funding levels were consistent with planned amounts.
- **Low-Income Housing Tax Credits (LIHTC)** are allocated on a calendar-year basis and not within the Consolidated Plan program year. The figures shown below are for the calendar year ending December 31, 2013.

The LIHTC administered by ADFA had \$3,236,144 returned for this reporting period which will be used next year.

Table 1: Resources Made Available to the State during the Reporting Period.

Source	State Agency	Anticipated PY 2013 Amount	Actual PY 2013 Amount	Difference of Actual and Anticipated
Federal				
DHUD/HOME	ADFA	\$ 7,314,340	\$ 7,314,340	-0-
DHUD/CDBG	AEDC	\$16,595,930	\$16,595,930	-0-
DHUD/ESG	ADHS/OCS	\$ 1,709,620	\$ 1,709,620	-0-
DHUD/HOPWA	ADH	\$ 515,426	\$ 515,426	-0-
LIHTC	ADFA	\$ 7,248,907	\$ 7,248,907	-0-
Total (Federal)		\$33,384,223	\$33,384,223	-0-
State				
MRB	ADFA	\$57,877,249	\$57,877,249	-0-
Total (State)		\$57,877,249	\$57,877,249	-0-
Grand Total		\$91,261,472	\$91,261,472	-0-

B. INVESTMENT OF AVAILABLE RESOURCES

State and federal policies guide and direct investment of available resources from each Arkansas housing, community and economic development program. This section of the CAPER reports on how the resources were used to accomplish program objectives.

COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT PROGRAM

The Arkansas Economic Development Commission administers the CDBG program for rural areas of the state that are not CDBG Entitlement Cities.

- **CDBG Program Priorities:** The Annual Plan for July 1, 2013 through June 30, 2014 established the following priorities for CDBG funded projects.

Goal 1: Enhance a suitable living environment for the citizens of Arkansas by improving the availability, accessibility and affordability of public facilities.

Objective 1.1: Enhance a *Suitable Living Environment* for senior citizens.

Outcome: Availability, Accessibility

Outcome Statement: Enhance a suitable living environment for the senior citizens of Arkansas through the improved access to, and availability of, Senior Citizen Centers.

Performance Indicator 1.1: In FY 2013 the State of Arkansas, in partnership with the Area Agencies on Aging, will make available approximately \$812,000 in CDBG funds for the construction, expansion or renovation of Senior Centers in four of the eight regions of the State served by the Area Agencies on Aging. These centers will provide transportation services, meals, senior health clinics, and centers for socialization for approximately 500 senior citizens, 100% of whom are below 80% of the area median income.

Actual Accomplishments: As of June 30, 2014 the State had executed grant agreements for four (4) new or expanded senior centers. These centers will provide improved access and availability to senior services for approximately 3,769 senior citizens, 100% of whom are of low- to moderate-income.

Objective 1.2: Enhance a *Suitable Living Environment* through improved access to affordable health services.

Outcome: Availability, Accessibility, and Affordability

Outcome Statement: Enhance a suitable living environment for the citizens of Arkansas by improved availability, accessibility, and affordability of health services.

Performance Indicator 1.2: In FY 2013 the State of Arkansas, in partnership with the Arkansas Department of Health, will make available approximately \$325,000 in CDBG funds for the construction, expansion or renovation of at least one County Health Unit in the state. This unit will provide health screenings and health services to approximately 500 persons, more than 51% of whom will be below 80% of the area median income.

Actual Accomplishments: As of June 30, 2014 the State had executed a grant agreement for one new public health building. This public health building will provide improved availability, accessibility, and affordability of health services to approximately 9,969 persons, 51% of whom are of low- to moderate-income.

Objective 1.3: Enhance a *Suitable Living Environment* through improved access to affordable child care services.

Outcome: Availability, Accessibility, and Affordability

Outcome Statement: Enhance a suitable living environment for the citizens of Arkansas by improved availability, accessibility, and affordability of Child Care Services.

Performance Indicator 1.3: In FY 2013 the State of Arkansas, in partnership with the Arkansas Department of Human Services, will make available approximately \$490,000 in CDBG funds for the construction, expansion or renovation of child care centers in the State. These centers will provide affordable child care services to approximately 100 children, more than 51% of whom will be from families that are below 80% of the area median income.

Actual Accomplishments: As of June 30, 2014 the State had executed a grant agreement for two (2) new child care centers. This will provide improved availability, accessibility, and affordability of affordable child care to approximately 180 persons, 51% of whom are of low- to moderate-income.

Objective 1.4: Enhance a *Suitable Living Environment* through improved access to community centers and affordable fire protection.

Outcome: Availability, Accessibility, and Affordability

Outcome Statement: Enhance a suitable living environment for rural Arkansans through the improved access to Community Centers, and through the availability of affordable fire protection services.

Performance Indicator 1.4: In FY 2013 the State of Arkansas, in partnership with the Arkansas Department of Rural Services, will make available approximately \$700,000 in CDBG funds for the construction, expansion or renovation of Community Centers and Fire Stations, and for the purchase of fire trucks and fire protection equipment in cities and unincorporated rural areas with a population of less than 3,000 persons. These centers will provide meeting areas and will provide fire protection for approximately 5,000 persons, more than 51% of whom will have incomes below 80% of the area median income.

Actual Accomplishments: As of June 30, 2014 the State had both executed one (1) grant agreement and made a commitment for one (1) additional new community center and for seven (7) fire protection projects. These projects will enhance a suitable living environment for approximately 6,002 rural Arkansans through the improved access to Community Centers, and through the availability of affordable fire protection services.

Objective 1.5: Enhance a *Suitable Living Environment* through improved access to public facilities and public infrastructure, and through funding for innovative projects.

Outcome: Availability, Accessibility, and Affordability

Outcome Statement: Enhance a suitable living environment for the citizens of Arkansas through improvements in the availability, accessibility and affordability of public facilities, public infrastructure and projects of an innovative nature.

Performance Indicator 1.5: In FY 2013 the State of Arkansas will make available approximately \$650,000 in CDBG funds for the construction of public infrastructure, including such projects as drainage, streets, and roads. Funds will be available for the construction of public facilities, including such projects as homeless shelters, youth centers, and halfway houses. Funds will also be available for projects deemed to be of an innovative nature. These grants will be awarded through a competitive process. At least 51% of all persons benefiting from this line item will have incomes below 80% of the area median income, or the project will meet another national objective, such as the elimination of slum and blight or to address an urgent need.

Note that additional funds may be provided for this line item in the future through the deobligation of unused funds from other line items, or from deobligated funds from previous funding years.

Actual Accomplishments: As of June 30, 2014 the State had issued three (3) commitments under this line item from PY 2013 funds, as well as five (5) other commitment from funds deobligated from a previous year.

A commitment was made for the construction of a children's safety center in one Arkansas county that will enhance the living environment for approximately 100 abused children. One hundred percent of the persons served will be of low- to moderate-income.

A commitment was made to one Arkansas city for an innovative project to assist approximately 815 persons through the construction of a food pantry, 100% of whom are expected to be of low- to moderate-income.

A commitment was made to three (3) Arkansas cities to assist approximately 1,005 persons through the construction of drainage improvements. At least 51% of the persons served will be of low- to moderate-income.

A commitment was made to one Arkansas city to assist approximately 131 persons through the construction of street repairs. At least 51% of the persons served will be of low- to moderate-income.

A commitment was made to one Arkansas county to assist in the renovation of a center for developmentally disabled persons. Approximately 200 persons would be served by the center, 100% of whom are of low- to moderate-income.

A commitment was made to one Arkansas city to assist with the purchase and installation of a generator at the city's emergency shelter. Approximately 398 persons will benefit, 78.6% of whom are of low- to moderate-income.

Goal 2: Enhance a suitable living environment for the citizens of Arkansas by improving the availability, accessibility and affordability of public infrastructure.

Objective 2.1: Enhance a *Suitable Living Environment* through the construction of water and sewer systems.

Outcome: Availability, Accessibility, and Affordability

Outcome Statement: Enhance a *Suitable Living Environment* for the citizens of Arkansas through the availability of clean, safe drinking water and safe sanitary sewer systems.

Performance Indicator 2.1: In FY 2013 the State of Arkansas will enhance a suitable living environment for the citizens of Arkansas by providing improved access to clean, safe drinking water and safe sanitary sewer systems. In partnership with the Arkansas Natural Resources Commission, the State will make available approximately \$6,600,000 in CDBG funds for the construction or expansion water and sewer projects. These improvements will provide assistance to approximately 1,000 persons. At least 51% of all households benefiting from this line item will have incomes below 80% of the area median income.

Actual Accomplishments: As of June 30, 2014 the State had executed four (4) new grant agreements for the extension of drinking water systems. These projects will enhance a suitable living environment for approximately 197 persons through the improved availability of clean safe drinking water. Approximately 51% of the persons served will be of low- to moderate-income.

A grant agreement for a wastewater project and a commitment for a water project was also funded from PY13 funds to add funding to projects with benefit reported in different funding years.

Goal 3: Improve the economic opportunity of the citizens of Arkansas by identifying and funding economic development projects which provide access to new jobs.

Objective 3.1: Improve *Economic Opportunities* by identifying and funding projects which provide access to new jobs.

Outcome: Accessibility

Outcome Statement: Improve economic opportunities through activities aimed at improving the conditions needed for successful business and economic development.

Performance Indicator 3.1: In FY 2013 the State of Arkansas will identify and fund projects which lead to employment opportunities for the citizens of Arkansas. The State will make available \$6,600,000 in CDBG funds to provide grants to cities and counties to fund eligible economic development activities primarily grants for the improvement of public infrastructure related to the location or expansion of industry in the State, and to capitalize loans that benefit businesses and industries that are locating or expanding in Arkansas. These grants will provide job opportunities to approximately 500 persons. At least 51% of all new jobs created or retained by these projects will be held by or made available to persons with household incomes below 80% of the area median income.

Actual Accomplishments: As of June 30, 2014 the State had executed five (5) grant agreements for economic development, and executed six (6) additional commitments. These projects will improve economic opportunities for approximately 172 rural

Arkansans through the improved access to job opportunities. Approximately 51% of the new jobs created by these projects will be made available to persons of low- to moderate-income. Additional jobs are expected to be created as a result of funding the committed projects.

Objective 3.2: Improve *Economic Opportunities* through access to Individual Development Accounts.

Outcome: Accessibility

Outcome Statement: Improve economic opportunities for families through improved access to Individual Development Accounts.

Performance Indicator 3.2: Study the feasibility of funding Individual Development Accounts with CDBG funds, and provide money to fund these accounts should they prove feasible. One hundred percent of the households receiving these funds would have incomes below 80% of the area median income. This funding will be taken from the Economic Development Set Aside line item.

Actual Accomplishments: As of June 30, 2014 the State has executed one grant agreement for Individual Development Accounts in the sum of \$140,000, from PY2008 funds. This project assisted 73 low- to moderate-income Arkansans through small business assistance, higher education, or home ownership down payment assistance.

CDBG Funding Allocation. Table 2 reports planned versus actual amounts of CDBG funding categories for the reporting period.

Table 2: FY 2013 CDBG Allocation Plan

Category	Amount Planned	Actual Amount
<i>State Administration</i>	\$ 328,930.00	\$ 328,930.00
<i>Technical Assistance</i>	90,000.00	90,000.00
<i>Economic Development Set-Aside</i>	6,600,000.00	6,600,000.00
Categorical		
Water/Wastewater	6,600,000.00	6,600,000.00
Childcare Facilities	490,000.00	490,000.00
Public Health Facilities	325,000.00	325,000.00
Senior Citizen Facilities	812,000.00	812,000.00
Rural Services Set-Aside	700,000.00	700,000.00
General Assistance and Innovative Projects	650,000.00	650,000.00
Total FY 2013 Allocation	\$16,595,930.00	\$16,595,930.00
Estimated Program Income	2,000,000.00	3,165,462.12
Total FY 2013 Allocation plus Program Income	\$18,595,930.00	\$19,761,392.12

- **CDBG Projects.** Table 3 lists individual projects that have been funded from CDBG funds for the reporting period allocation as of June 30, 2014.

Table 3: PY 2013 CDBG Funded Projects as of June 30, 2014

Grantee	Total Grant	Project Cost	Administration Cost
<i>Categorical Grantees</i>			
Alma	\$ 203,000	\$ 197,000	\$ 6,000
Anthonyville	\$ 74,250	\$ 65,500	\$ 8,750
Cave City	\$ 325,000	\$ 303,010	\$ 21,990
Clarendon	\$ 75,000	\$ 70,000	\$ 5,000
Columbia County	\$ 165,000	\$ 151,000	\$ 14,000
Dallas County	\$ 203,000	\$ 189,500	\$ 13,500
Dell	\$ 49,420	\$ 44,420	\$ 5,000
Faulkner County	\$ 810,000	\$ 771,910	\$ 38,090
Hempstead County	\$ 325,000	\$ 290,500	\$ 34,500
Highfill	\$ 75,000	\$ 74,000	\$ 1,000
Jennette	\$ 73,800	\$ 67,500	\$ 6,300
Johnson County	\$ 255,000	\$ 221,885	\$ 33,115
Kensett	\$ 75,000	\$ 67,500	\$ 7,500
Lonoke County	\$1,410,000	\$1,410,000	-
McNeil	\$ 75,000	\$ 69,500	\$ 5,500
Montgomery County	\$ 475,000	\$ 436,370	\$ 38,630
Morrilton	\$ 203,000	\$ 184,180	\$ 18,820
Patterson	\$ 75,000	\$ 69,000	\$ 6,000
Pulaski County	\$ 775,000	\$ 732,620	\$ 42,380
Woodruff County	\$ 203,000	\$ 182,900	\$ 20,100
<i>Economic Development Grantees</i>			
Dumas	\$ 610,400	\$ 600,000	\$ 10,400
Lowell	\$ 783,566	\$ 761,396	\$ 22,170
Madison County	\$ 211,000	\$ 200,000	\$ 11,000
Monticello	\$ 259,200	\$ 250,000	\$ 9,200
Mulberry	\$ 609,000	\$ 600,000	\$ 9,000

CDBG Project Beneficiary Results. Table 4 reports on the proposed total number of persons benefiting from PY 2013 CDBG funded projects awarded during the reporting period and the estimated percentage of low- to moderate-income persons. Demographic information is provided in Table 5.

Table 4: CDBG PY 2013 Proposed Project Beneficiary Data for Funded Projects as of
 June 30, 2014

Grantee	Proposed Total Benefit/Jobs	Proposed LMI Benefit/Jobs	Proposed LMI% Benefit
<i>Categorical Grantees</i>			
Alma	687	687	100.0
Anthonyville	161	111	68.9
Cave City	60	60	100.0
Clarendon	1,960	1,002	51.1
Columbia County	120	120	100.0
Dallas County	1,474	1,474	100.0
Dell	224	115	51.3
Faulkner County	20	14	70.0
Hempstead County	9,969	9,969	100.0
Highfill	583	348	59.7
Jennette	115	80	69.6
Johnson County	66	54	81.8
Kensett	1,648	956	58.0
Lonoke County	N/A	N/A	N/A
McNeil	516	372	72.1
Montgomery County	43	41	95.3
Morrilton	150	150	100.0
Patterson	452	272	60.2
Pulaski County	68	51	75.0
Woodruff County	1,458	1,458	100.0
<i>Economic Development Grantees</i>			
Dumas	75	39	52.0
Lowell	26	14	53.8
Madison County	18	10	55.5
Monticello	12	7	58.3
Mulberry	41	21	51.2

Table 5: CDBG PY 2013 Proposed Project Beneficiary Data for Funded Projects as of
June 30, 2014

Grantee	Proposed Total Minority	Proposed Hispanic	Proposed Elderly	Proposed Female HH	Proposed Handicapped
<i>Categorical Grantees</i>					
Alma	59	28	100	687	100
Anthonyville	147	14	17	37	0
Cave City	6	0	17	0	0
Clarendon	618	0	132	315	495
Columbia County	89	11	25	75	20
Dallas County	589	0	136	1,474	97
Dell	4	0	52	37	3
Faulkner County	6	0	7	7	4
Hempstead County	1,392	0	0	3,396	0
Highfill	57	526	29	32	121
Jennette	108	7	11	22	0
Johnson County	0	0	0	0	0
Kensett	479	235	116	273	327
Lonoke County	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
McNeil	287	229	52	60	193
Montgomery County	13	0	9	8	8
Morrilton	26	0	0	200	0
Patterson	118	0	57	72	94
Pulaski County	8	1	10	15	23
Woodruff County	2,916	17	306	1,458	921
<i>Economic Development Grantees</i>					
Dumas	30	15	16	0	0
Lowell	6	6	3	2	4
Madison County	3	4	3	1	1
Monticello	4	4	3	0	0
Mulberry	2	0	4	7	7

CDBG Program Comments

- Changes in the State's CDBG program objectives or sub-goals are described in the 2010-2015 Consolidated Plan.
- Programmatic changes in the State's CDBG program are also discussed in the Consolidated Plan.
- Plans for FY 2014 include further refinement of the application submittal and review process and further definition of the public participation process regarding activities to be funded. Any changes regarding the above will be contingent upon requirements of the Consolidated Plan.
- The State will define ways to commit funds to economic development and categorical projects in order to spend funds in a timely manner during the current fiscal year and future allocation years.
- As of June 30, 2014, 100% of the CDBG allocation was obligated to projects, which primarily benefit low- and moderate-income persons. As of June 30, 2014, the State had a total of \$9,298,166 in ED projects committed to applicants.

Overall Benefit to Low- and Moderate-Income Persons

The Arkansas Economic Development Commission certifies that 100% of the CDBG funds received by the State during FY 2013 were used for activities that benefit low- to moderate-

income persons. The State further certifies that for FY 2014 and FY 2015 it is estimated that 100% of the CDBG program funds received will benefit low- to moderate-income persons.

SUPPLEMENTAL CDBG FUNDING

American Recovery and Reinvestment Act

The State of Arkansas received a total of \$5,144,608 for the State Community Development Block Grant (CDBG-R) funding under the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act (ARRA). As a requirement for receiving the funds, the State submitted a substantial amendment to its Action Plan for the program year 2008. In the substantial Amendment, the State described its method of distribution and each selected project. The State funded thirteen projects for a total commitment of \$4,908,188.05. The unobligated amount of \$236,419.95 was recaptured and returned to the treasury. The grant was approved to be closed out on March 7, 2013.

CDBG Disaster Assistance Funding

In 2008 Arkansas there were five Presidentially-declared disasters in the State of Arkansas. To address damage and losses from these disasters in Arkansas and in other Midwestern States, the Congress passed the Supplemental Appropriations Act of 2008 (Public Law 110-252, approved June 30, 2008). This Act provided funding in the form of supplemental CDBG funding to meet the unmet needs of states reeling from the effects flooding and tornadoes. On October 27, HUD announced the release of \$4,747,501 to meet the needs of counties in Arkansas that were affected by FEMA Disaster #1758. On November 26, HUD announced an additional \$20,294,857 to the State of Arkansas to address the effects of all of the five declared disasters in the state. On August 14, 2009, HUD awarded an additional \$70,181,041 in Disaster Recovery funds to the State of Arkansas. When combined with the previously announced award of \$20,294,857, the total funding available under this allocation is \$90,475,898. These funds were distributed to cities and counties in two funding rounds. In Round 1 funds were set aside for each county in proportion to the estimated damage reported through FEMA. Cities and counties submitted their needs to the Planning or Economic Development Districts (PDDs) that serve their area, and the PDDs submitted applications on their behalf. In Round 2 the cities and counties were invited to submit pre-applications directly to AEDC in ten funding categories in a state-wide competitive process.

HOME Program

- **HOME Program Authorization.** ADFA administers the HOME program. The following table reports on the use of HOME program funds since the program's inception in 1992.
- Since FY 92, ADFA has authorized 90% of HOME program funds to projects and 93% of those funds have been formally committed to projects. For the one-year Consolidated Plan period, 13% of project funds have been disbursed.

Table 6: HOME Program Funds Authorized, Committed, and Disbursed for All Fiscal Years

Fiscal Year	Total Authorization	Authorized for Activities	Committed to Activities	Disbursed for Activities
FY 1992	\$ 10,947,000	\$ 9,852,300	\$ 9,852,300	\$ 9,852,300
FY 1993	7,520,000	6,507,735	6,507,735	6,507,735
FY 1994	8,479,000	8,139,412	8,139,412	8,139,412
FY 1995	9,544,000	8,839,180	8,839,180	8,839,180
FY 1996	9,646,000	9,251,659	9,251,659	9,251,659
FY 1997	9,767,000	8,652,449	8,652,449	8,652,449
FY 1998	10,453,000	8,885,050	8,885,050	8,885,050
FY 1999	11,320,000	10,188,000	10,188,000	10,188,000
FY 2000	11,203,000	9,931,435	9,931,435	9,931,435
FY 2001	12,668,000	11,252,891	11,252,891	11,252,891
FY 2002	12,578,314	11,232,788	11,232,788	11,232,788
FY 2003	13,871,000	12,483,900	12,483,900	12,483,900
FY 2004	15,401,701	13,664,827	13,664,827	13,664,827
FY 2005	13,889,529	12,395,350	12,395,350	12,395,350
FY 2006	12,699,718	11,271,191	11,271,191	11,271,191
FY 2007	13,023,210	11,588,103	11,588,103	11,533,622
FY 2008	12,683,597	11,472,464	11,011,796	10,786,249
FY 2009	14,001,563	12,601,407	11,427,843	10,232,459
FY 2010	13,983,361	12,585,025	10,335,816	8,063,833
FY 2011	12,269,079	11,042,171	7,418,759	6,630,230
FY 2012	7,725,281	6,952,753	3,928,346	2,837,291
FY 2013	7,314,340	6,582,906	939,923	869,088
Totals	\$250,987,692	\$225,372,996	\$209,198,753	\$203,500,939

Source: IDIS PR27

HOME Program Priorities: The Annual Plan for July 1, 2013 through June 30, 2014 established the following priorities for HOME-funded projects:

Goal: Improve the condition, availability, and accessibility of affordable housing in Arkansas over a five-year period.

Objective 1: Provide *Decent Housing* through Homebuyer Assistance Programs.

Outcome: Affordability

Outcome Statement: Create decent housing with improved affordability by providing funding for homebuyer assistance programs such as down payment, closing costs, and principal reduction to low-income homebuyers.

Performance Indicator 1.1: Increase/maintain funding for homeownership assistance programs to aid 175 families including first-time homebuyers by the end of FY 2013.

Actual Accomplishments: 221 families were assisted in purchasing a home.

Objective 2: Increase *Decent Housing* for homebuyers through new Single-Family Housing.

Outcome: Affordability and Accessibility

Outcome Statement: Create decent housing with improved affordability and accessibility to new housing by providing construction financing for single-family housing throughout the State via enhanced financial mechanisms and improved communication with partners and constituents.

Performance Indicator 2.1: Provide financing to construct 20 single-family homes for low- to moderate-income (less than 80 percent AMI) families by the end of FY 2013.

Actual Accomplishments: Zero (0) families purchased newly constructed through Homeownership New Construction Activity.

Performance Indicator 2.2: Provide financing to construct at a minimum one (1) single-family unit for homeownership that incorporates universal design and/or Energy Star standards by the end of FY 2013.

Actual Accomplishments: Zero (0) single-family units for homeownership that incorporates universal design and/or Energy Star standards were constructed.

Objective 3: Provide *Decent Housing* through Rental Assistance Programs

Outcome(s): Affordability and Accessibility

Outcome Statement: Create decent housing through improved affordability and accessibility by providing rental subsidy and security deposit assistance for very low- to moderate-income households and persons with disabilities.

Performance Indicator 3.1: Provide funding to assist 200 families utilizing Tenant-Based Rental Assistance (TBRA) by the end of FY 2013.

Actual Accomplishments: 241 families were assisted with rental assistance.

Performance Indicator 3.2: Provide funding to assist 30 persons with disabilities utilizing TBRA by the end of FY 2013.

Actual Accomplishments: 20 persons with disabilities were assisted with rental assistance.

Objective 4: Provide *Decent Housing* through Multifamily Housing and other Rental Housing Developments.

Outcome: Affordability and Accessibility

Outcome Statement: Create decent housing with improved affordability accessibility of multifamily housing and other rental housing through the construction and rehabilitation of rental units.

Performance Indicator 4.1: Create an additional 75 rental units throughout the State by the end of FY 2013.

Actual Accomplishments: 86 rental units were created throughout the State.

Objective 5: Create a *Suitable Living Environment* by forging partnerships among HUD recipient agencies to evaluate the resources needed for infrastructure improvements to support Single-Family and Multifamily affordable housing.

Outcome: Affordability and Accessibility

Outcome Statement: Enhanced Suitable Living Environments through improved affordability and accessibility of infrastructure projects, which support affordable housing.

Performance Indicator 5.1: Develop at a minimum one (1) affordable housing project, which combines infrastructure improvements and an affordable housing development by the end of the FY 2013.

Actual Accomplishments: No affordable housing project which combines infrastructure improvements to an affordable housing development was constructed.

Objective 6: Preserve *Decent Housing* for Homeowners through Rehabilitation of Single-Family Housing.

Outcome: Sustainability and Affordability

Outcome Statement: Create decent housing through improved sustainability and affordability of existing single-family housing stock through rehabilitation or reconstruction projects for low-income persons.

Performance Indicator 6.1: Rehabilitate or reconstruct 45 housing units by funding major homeowner rehabilitation programs by the end of FY 2013.

Actual Accomplishments: 55 housing units were rehabilitated or reconstructed.

Objective 7: Preserve *Decent Housing* through Rehabilitation of Rental Housing.

Outcome: Sustainability and Affordability

Outcome Statement: Create decent housing through improved sustainability and affordability of existing multifamily and other rental housing stock through rehabilitation or reconstruction projects for low-income persons

Performance Indicator 7.1: Rehabilitate or reconstruct 25 housing units by funding major rental rehabilitation programs by the end of FY 2013.

Actual Accomplishments: 86 rental housing units were rehabilitated.

HOME Program Commitments and Completions. The following table reports project commitments and completions for all program years. ADFHA has completed 393 units of affordable housing and has outstanding commitments for an additional 149 units. These figures do not include 118 Tenant Based Rental Assistance units.

Table 7: HOME Program Completions and Commitments by Activity for all Program Years

Activity Type	# of Units/Families	Total Cost	HOME Subsidy	Total Cost Per Unit/Family	HOME Subsidy Per Unit/Family
Commitments					
New Construction	46	\$ 3,341,044	\$ 3,424,575	\$ 72,631	\$ 74,447
Rehabilitation	69	\$ 5,489,829	\$ 5,514,307	\$ 79,563	\$ 79,917
Acquisition Only	27	\$ 143,179	\$ 136,455	\$ 5,303	\$ 5,054
Acquisition & Rehabilitation	7	\$ 521,500	\$ 444,100	\$ 74,500	\$ 63,443
Acquisition & New Construction					
Total	149	\$ 9,495,552	\$ 9,519,437	\$ 63,729	\$ 63,889
Completions					
New Construction	93	\$ 6,417,608	\$ 6,644,374	\$ 69,006	\$ 71,444
Rehabilitation	85	\$ 5,753,534	\$ 5,984,357	\$ 67,688	\$ 70,404
Acquisition Only	212	\$ 1,315,228	\$ 1,148,269	\$ 6,203	\$ 5,416
Acquisition & Rehabilitation					
Acquisition & New Construction	3	\$ 297,000	\$ 297,000	\$ 99,000	\$ 99,000
Total	393	\$13,783,370	\$14,074,000	\$ 35,072	\$ 35,812
TBRA*	118		\$ 742,332		\$ 6,290

Source: IDIS Report PR15

*Note: Number of families who have received TBRA payments. HOME Subsidy per family reflects disbursements to date.

- Note: Commitment figures are not included in completion numbers.

- **HOME Program Completions by Tenure Type and Income Group for All Years.**
- Table 8 reports program completions by tenure type for all fiscal years through June 30, 2014. Since 1992, 43.5% of HOME program units completed have been projects benefiting extremely low-income families (0 to 30% of MFI) and another 23.6% of units completed have been projects benefiting low-income families (31 % to 50 % of MFI).

Table 8: HOME Completions by Tenure Type and Income Group for all Program Years through June 30, 2014.

All Years to June 30, 2014	Percent of Median 0-30 %	Income 31-50 %	51-60 %	61-80%	Total 0 to 60%	Total 0 to 80 %	Reported as Vacant
Rental Activity							
Units Completed	1,391	883	621	130	2,895	3,025	99
TBRA Families	3,806	907	199	112	4,912	5,024	
Lower Income Benefit (units)	64.6%	22.2%	10.2%	3%	97%	100%	
Homebuyer Activity							
Units Completed	148	823	761	2,296	1,732	4,028	
Lower Income Benefit (units)	3.7%	20.4%	18.9%	57%	43%	100%	
Homeowner Activity							
Units Completed	648	654	222	239	1,524	1,763	
Lower Income Benefit (units)	36.8%	37.1%	12.3%	13%	86.4%	100%	
Total							
Total Units Completed	2,187	2,360	1,604	2,665	6,151	8,816	99
TBRA Families	3,806	907	199	112	4,912	5,024	
Lower Income Benefit (units)	43.3%	23.6%	13%	20%	79.9%	100%	

Source: IDIS Report PR16

HOME Program Completions by Tenure Type for FY 2013. Table 9 provides HOME program completions by tenure type for the reporting period.

During the reporting period, HOME Program funds completed 362 units of affordable housing, including 86 rental units and 276 homeowner units. These figures do not include 241 units of Tenant-Based Rental Assistance units.

Approximately 69% (0-30% AMI) of HOME Program units (including TBRA) provided during the reporting period benefited extremely low-income families and another 31 benefited low- and moderate-income families (31%-80%).

Table 9: HOME Completions by Tenure Type and Income Group for the Reporting

Period FY 2013

July 1, 2013 to June 30, 2014	% of Median Income 0-30%	31- 50%	51- 60%	61- 80%	Total 0 to 60%	Total 0 to 80%	Reported As Vacant
Rental Activity							
Units Completed	21	31	30	4	82	86	
TBRA Families	964	97	13	8	1,074	1,082	
Lower Income Benefit (units)	84.3%	11.0%	3.7%	1.0%	99.0%	100.0%	
Homebuyer Activity							
Units Completed	14	65	44	98	123	221	
Lower Income Benefit (units)	6.3%	29.4%	19.9%	44.3%	55.7%	100.0%	
Homeowner Activity							
Units Completed	2	29	11	13	42	55	
Lower Income Benefit (units)	3.6%	52.7%	20.0%	23.6%	76.4%	100.0%	
Total							
Units Completed	37	125	85	115	247	362	
TBRA Families	964	97	13	8	1074	1082	
Lower Income Benefit (units)	69.3%	15.4%	6.8%	8.5%	91.5%	100.0%	

Source: IDIS Report PR23

Table 10: HOME Racial Data of Households and Person Assisted for the Reporting Period, FY 2013

Racial Groups	NON-HISPANICS					HISPANICS				
	Rental	TBR A	Home Buyers	Home Owners	Grand Total House holds	Rental	TBRA	Home Buyers	Home Owners	Grand Total House holds
White	39	883	99	18	1,039	0	17	13	1	31
Black/African American	45	193	118	37	393	0	2	0	0	2
Asian	1	1	2	0	4	0	0	0	0	0
Native Hawaiian/ Other Pacific Islander	0	3	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0
American Indian/ Alaskan Native & White	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	1
Black/African American & White	1	2	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0
American Indian/Alaskan Native & Black/African American	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Other Multi-racial										
Grand Total	86	1,082	221	55	1,444	-	19	14	1	34

Source: IDIS Report PR23

- Housing Assistance Activities.** Table 11 reports how the FY 2013 HOME Program grant was allocated among housing assistance activity types.

Table 11: HOME Program Funding Allocations by Housing Assistance Activities for FY 2013

Activity	Total Amounts Planned	Total Amounts Allocated*
Total Allocation	\$7,314,340	
CHDO Allocation 15%	\$ 1,097,151	\$ 1,800,000
Rental Housing Program	\$ 2,506,842	\$ 2,469,300
Homeowner Housing Programs	\$ 2,426,564	\$ 5,253,113
Tenant Based Rental Assistance	\$ 552,349	\$ 738,824
Total Funds for Competitive Awards	\$ 6,582,906	\$10,261,237

*Includes \$ 2,142,031 in program income and prior year grant funds

- HOME Program Geographic Distribution.** Table 12 illustrates how HOME Program funds were allocated to geographic categories for the reporting period. The Consolidated Plan established three principal geographic categories for distribution of HOME Program funds:

CDBG Entitlement Cities. Fourteen cities in Arkansas qualify as CDBG Entitlement cities and receive CDBG funds directly from HUD. These cities exhibit urban housing problems and conditions, which were established as high priority concerns in the Consolidated Plan.

Minority and Low-income Concentrations. The Consolidated Plan analyzed minority and low-income concentrations by county in Arkansas and established priorities for housing assistance in these counties. Thirty-nine of Arkansas’s 75 counties were identified as having minority and/or low-income population concentrations.

Difficult Development Areas. Ten counties in Arkansas qualify as Difficult Development Areas (DDA), which are designated by the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development; DDA was established as high priority concerns in the Consolidated Plan.

In addition to these three geographic categories, in subsequent years, ADFHA has established high growth counties as high priority areas for receipt of certain types of housing funds. Two separate high-growth categories are defined: Counties with more than 10% population growth and counties with between 5% and 10% population growth.

Appendix 1 lists each Arkansas county and indicates which of these categories apply to each county. Appendix 2 provides a FY 2013 HOME Program project-by-project listing by housing activity type and geographic category.

Table 12 indicates the geographic distribution of FY 2013 HOME Program funds. The percentages for housing assistance activities add to more than 100% because of overlap among geographic categories. For example, a single county may be included on both the racial/low-income concentration list and the list of counties with lower rates of housing subsidies.

Table 12: HOME Program Funding Geographic Allocations for FY 2013

Competitive Grants Activity	Actual Allocation	Entitlement Cities	Counties with Minority & Low-income Concentration	Counties in Difficult Development Areas	Counties with 10% Population Gain	Counties with 5% Population Gain
Total CHDO Set Aside	\$ 1,800,000	\$1,800,000	\$ 900,000	\$ 0	\$ 900,000	\$ 900,000
Total Homeowner Housing	\$ 4,161,209	\$ 463,103	\$ 3,666,208	\$ 396,000	\$ 851,730	\$ 0
Total Rental Program	\$ 2,469,300	\$ 900,000	\$ 1,119,300	\$ 0	\$1,350,000	\$450,000
Total TBRA	\$ 738,824	\$ 65,120	\$ 448,704	\$ 288,824	\$ 738,824	\$448,704
Grand Total	\$10,261,237	\$3,228,223	\$ 6,134,212	\$ 684,824	\$3,840,554	\$1,798,704

ADDI not included in county breakdown

- Other HOME Program Comments.** ADFA personnel inspect all affordable housing units financed through HOME Program projects for new construction, owner rehabilitation, rental rehabilitation, mortgage subsidy, down payment assistance, and tenant-based rental assistance to ensure compliance with housing codes and other applicable regulations. In addition, ADFA HOME Program staff members monitor and assess grantees affirmative marketing actions and outreach to minority-owned and women-owned businesses.

Emergency Solutions Grants Program

ESG Program funds are administered by the Arkansas Department of Human Services, Office of Community Services (OCS) and are distributed through a competitive application process to private nonprofit organizations that develop and operate homeless shelters and supportive services. In February of each year, OCS mails grant round notices to its mailing list of eligible and interested applicants. Usually 300 to 400 packages are mailed. The applications are due within a six-week time period and OCS provides a one-day technical assistance workshop early in the six-week period to instruct interested applicants on completion of the application. Applications are then received, rated and scored and funds are awarded to the top rated applicants.

Performance Measures: Homelessness

The Arkansas Department of Human Services will be responsible for tracking the measures for the four objectives under the Homelessness goal of expanding and improving the housing services offered to families and individuals in the State of Arkansas. The indicators relating to homelessness, listed below, will be tracked quarterly by DHS and reported in the “State of Arkansas Annual Update to the Consolidated Plan.” The first objective, to continue coordination within and among the State Continuums of Care, has four indicators. This first objective serves to improve services offered to homeless families and individuals through the sharing of knowledge throughout the supportive services network. Seminars, conferences and participation in the Homeless Management Information System (HMIS) elevate the capacity of members of the thirteen Continuums of Care within the state. The indicators under this objective measure the participation level of the Continuums and of the non-profits under them. The second objective is to support services aimed at the prevention and elimination of homelessness. The first indicator

under this objective is a count of the number of programs assisted per year with operating costs at a level of \$10,000. Programs receiving this level of funding are established operations receiving the “Tier 2” funding levels to maintain their operations. This indicator measures the number of larger programs sustained by the HUD funding for monthly operating costs. The second indicator is the number of programs assisted per year with funding for prevention activities. This indicator will be measured along with the total number of persons served by these programs to establish an overall effectiveness measure for the funding directed at prevention programs. The third objective, to expand emergency shelter facilities, particularly those serving homeless families, has three indicators to measure the state’s progress. The first two indicators directly measure the number of shelters and the persons served. The third indicator recognizes the fact that HUD funding is not the only, and in many cases, not the primary funding source for non-profits. To decrease non-profit agency dependency on a sole funding source they may utilize the unified technical assistance offered through the Continuum of Care networks in obtaining additional funding sources. This leverages the HUD funding over an increased base of nonprofit organizations. The fourth objective with indicators measured by the Arkansas Department of Human Services (DHS) is the support to nonprofit organizations seeking to develop transitional housing opportunities for homeless persons. The indicator measures the number of nonprofit organizations DHS is able to aid in their attempt to secure funding sources.

Goal: Expand and improve the housing and services offered to homeless families and individuals in Arkansas.

Objective 1: Continue coordination within and among the State Continuums of Care.

Indicator #1 – Number of homeless outreach meetings, conferences and seminars conducted or attended (Strategy 1.1).

Accomplishment 1.1 In FY 2013 DHS/OCS

Staff participated in sixteen (16) monthly meetings of the Arkansas Continuum of Care, eleven (11) Interagency Council for the Homeless meetings and six (6) Arkansas Homeless Coalition meetings, two (2) VA CHALENG meetings, five (5) HUD-sponsored workshops, and four (4) HUD-sponsored webinars, and one (1) National Program Managers Meeting.

Indicator #2 – Increase in participation of non-profit organizations in the HMIS (Strategy 1.2).

Accomplishment 1.2 In FY 2013 DHS/OCS

One (1) new agency came on to the HMIS system.

Objective 2: Support services aimed at the prevention and elimination of homelessness.

Indicator #1– Number of programs assisted per year with operating costs at a level of \$10,000 (Strategy 2.1).

Accomplishment 2.1 In FY 2013 DHS/OCS assisted 55 programs with operating costs at a level of \$10,000.

Indicator #2 – Number of programs assisted per year with funding for prevention activities (Strategy 2.2).

Accomplishment 2.2 In FY2013 DHS/OCS assisted 33 programs with prevention activities.

Objective 3: Expand emergency shelter facilities, particularly those serving homeless families.

Indicator #1 – Number of family shelter facilities increased (Strategy 3.1).

Accomplishment 3.1 In FY 2013 DHS/OCS increased the number of homeless facilities serving families by two (2).

Indicator #2 – Increase in the number of persons served at shelter facilities (Strategy 3.2).

Accomplishment 3.2 In FY2013 DHS/OCS ESG Program decreased the number of homeless served from 16,496 persons to 12,206. This is attributable to the fact that funds did not become available until November 2013. This decreased the program timeframe from twelve months to seven months.

Indicator #3 – Number of nonprofits that utilized unified technical assistance through the Continuum of Care networks in obtaining additional funding sources (Strategy 3.3).

Accomplishment 3.3 In FY 2013 DHS/OCS assisted approximately two (2) agencies through the Continuum of Care networks in applying for additional funding.

Objective 4: Support transitional housing opportunities for homeless.

Indicator #1– Number of nonprofits helped in their search in acquiring money for transitional housing (Strategy 4.1).

Accomplishment 4.1 In FY 2013 DHS/OCS assisted over eight (8) agencies in their search for acquiring money for transitional housing.

ESG Program provided a total of \$1,709,620 during FY 2013, funding 55 projects and benefiting approximately 12,206 homeless persons.

- Table 13 summarizes the ESG Program results for FY 2013-14. See Appendix 3 for a list of ESG grantees.

Table 13: ESG Grant Information for FY 2013-14

Number of Projects	Number of Beneficiaries	Total Budget	Street Outreach	Rapid Re-Housing	Prevention Budget	Shelter Operations Budget	State Administration
55	12,206	\$1,709,620	\$ 50,000	\$658,000	\$369,360	\$504,039	\$128,221

ESG funds were used to supplement private donations and private volunteer time. Activities funded include rehabilitation of shelter facilities, support services, homeless prevention and rapid re-housing activities and shelter operations, plus \$0 in funding for HMIS. The estimated number of beneficiaries corresponds closely to the number of planned beneficiaries.

Housing Assistance Impacts of ESG.

- Table 15 summarizes the FY 2013 housing activity results for ESG.

Table 15: Housing Assistance Impacts of ESG FY 2013 Grants

Housing Assistance Activity	ESG
Rehabilitation (units)	0
New Construction	0
Rental Assistance	12,206
Homebuyer Assistance (owner)	0
Support Services (persons)	0
Homes Weatherized	-
Total	12,206

Shelter Plus Care

Four (4) Shelter Plus Care projects were funded through the State of Arkansas during this reporting period. All projects applied to HUD through DHS OCS. The Little Rock Community Mental Health Center has the four grants totaling \$1,955,113 for tenant-based rental assistance.

The purpose of the projects is to provide supportive services and housing assistance to homeless persons and their families in the Little Rock service area. The budget capacity of the project is 351 persons and 208 housing units.

- Table 16 provides program results for the most recently completed program year:

Table 16: Persons Benefiting from the Shelter Care Plus Program

Program Participants	Sponsor Based Rental Assistance	Tenant Based Rental Assistance	Total Rental Assistance
Persons Referred	0	42	42
Total Number Entering Program	0	328	328

Housing Opportunities for Persons With AIDS (HOPWA) Program

Program Priorities: The Annual Update to the Consolidated Plan for the reporting program year July 1, 2013 through June 30, 2014, established the following priorities for HOPWA:

Goal 1: Provide housing assistance and appropriate supportive services to enable low-income, HIV-positive individuals to remain in their homes and to reduce their risks of homelessness.

Objective 1.1: Provide tenant-based rental assistance (TBRA).

Outcome: Housing stability.

Outcome Statement: Establish and/or better maintain a stable living environment in housing that is safe, decent, affordable, and sanitary.

Performance Indicator 1.1: Provide funding to maintain assistance to 94 households utilizing TBRA.

Actual Accomplishments: HOPWA provided housing assistance to 87 households utilizing TBRA. This was a decrease of seven (7) households over the estimated number in the annual plan.

Objective 1.2: Provide short-term rent, mortgage, and utility assistance (STRMU).

Outcome: Housing stability.

Outcome Statement: Establish and/or better maintain a stable living environment in housing that is safe, decent, affordable, and sanitary.

Performance Indicator 1.2: Provide funding to maintain or increase assistance to 56 households accessing short-term rent, mortgage, and utility assistance (STRMU) for some portion of the permitted 21 week period.

Actual Accomplishments: HOPWA provided assistance to 81 households accessing STRMU for some portion of the permitted 21 week period. This was an increase of 25 households from the estimated number in the annual plan.

Goal 2: Improve access to health care and other supportive services for HIV-positive individuals and their families.

Objective 2.1: Provide support in conjunction with HOPWA-funded housing assistance.

Outcome: Access to health care and support.

Outcome Statement: Improve access to health care and other supportive services.

Performance Indicator 1.2: To spend approximately \$200,000.00 to provide service coordination to assist clients with obtaining housing, to coordinate a long-term plan to allow clients to remain in housing, and to coordinate complimentary supportive services.

Actual Accomplishments: HOPWA expended \$130,975.00 to provide service coordination to assist 226 clients with obtaining housing, to coordinate a long-term plan to allow clients to remain in housing, and to coordinate complimentary supportive services. This is a decrease of \$69,025.00 from the estimated \$200,000 in the annual plan.

Additionally, 44 clients benefitted from permanent housing placement services at a cost of \$35,222.80. Like supportive services, the Consolidated Plan and subsequent Annual Action Plan did not reflect a goal in terms of number of clients. The need and necessity for this service however, has arisen over the life of the Consolidated Plan, necessitating the allocation of some funds to address it.

Arkansas Development Finance Authority Programs

Low-income Housing Tax Credit Program

Arkansas Development Finance Authority Programs (ADFA) administers the federal Low-income Housing Tax Credit Program for the State. The program allows owners and developers of multi-family housing that is to be rented to families whose income is not greater than 60% of the area median, to apply for federal tax credits. Developers receiving tax credits sell them to corporations that use them to offset federal income tax liability. During 2013, ADFA authorized credits totaling \$7,248,907 which when combined with the HOME set aside and the state tax credits, created 602 affordable, safe, decent apartments for low-income families.

Table 19: Low-income Housing Tax Credit Information

	Number Of Units	Amount of Tax Credit
LIHTC 2013	602	\$7,248,907

Tax-Exempt Multi-Family Housing Bonds

ADFA provides below market interest rate mortgages to private developers who agree to set rents that are affordable too low to moderate-income families. In the calendar year 2013, ADFA did not award any multi-family housing bonds to finance construction of affordable, safe, decent apartments for low-income families.

Table 20: Tax-Exempt Multi-Family Housing Bonds

	Number of Units	Amount of Bonds
TEMFH Bonds	0	0

Single-Family Mortgage Revenue Bond Program

The Mortgage Revenue Bond (MRB) Program was created in 1977 to assist primarily the low- to moderate-income first-time homebuyers to purchase homes by offering below market interest rate, 30-year mortgages. ADFa does not originate the loans directly to homebuyers; rather, loans are originated by participating lenders throughout the state. During the 2013 fiscal year, ADFa made 526 MRB loans totaling \$57,877,249 with an average loan amount of \$110,032.

Table 21: Mortgage Revenue Bond Program Information for FY 2013

MRB Summary for FY 2013	
Total Mortgages Financed	526
Total Amount	\$57,877,249
Average Mortgage Size	\$ 110,032

Tax Credit Assistance Program

ADFA administers a special allocation of HOME Funds as part of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (Recovery Act). The program was created to accelerate the production and preservation of affordable housing. During 2013, ADFa continued working with participants to create affordable, safe, decent apartments for low-income families. ADFa has authorized and committed 100% of TCAP program funds and 100% of program funds have been disbursed.

Table 22: Tax Credit Assistance Program

TCAP Summary for FY 2013	
Total Authorized/Committed	\$20,463,053
Total Disbursed	\$20,463,053

Neighborhood Stabilization Program

The Neighborhood Stabilization Program (NSP) was authorized under Title III of the Housing and Economic Recovery Act of 2008 and considered a special Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) allocation. ADFAs housing agency for the State of Arkansas is designated as the administrator. The program was created to provide assistance to acquire and redevelop foreclosed properties that might otherwise become sources of abandonment and blight within the communities. During 2013, ADFAs continued working with participants to purchase foreclosed or abandoned homes to rehabilitate, resell, or redevelop homes in order to stabilize neighborhoods and stem the decline of house values of neighboring homes. ADFAs has disbursed \$18,448,293 of the NSP Funds.

Table 23: Neighborhood Stabilization Program

NSP Summary for FY 2013	
Total Authorized/Committed	\$19,600,000
Total Disbursed	\$18,448,293

Neighborhood Stabilization Program 3

The Neighborhood Stabilization Program 3 (NSP3) for State of Arkansas is authorized by section 1497 of the Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act of 2010 (Pub. L. 111-203 (July 21, 2010)) (Dodd-Frank Act), title XII of Division A of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act

of 2009 (Public Law 111-5 (February 17, 2009)) (Recovery Act) and sections 2301-2304 of the Housing and Economic Recovery Act of 2008 (Public Law 110-289 (July 30, 2008)) (HERA) and is considered a special CDBG allocation. The primary purpose of NSP3 is to provide emergency assistance for the State to acquire and redevelop properties, that are both foreclosed and vacant, that might otherwise become sources of abandonment and blight within their communities. During 2013, ADFA allocated the NSP3 funding to a single project in Little Rock, Arkansas. The project consists of a 75 unit assisted living facility. The project was completed in August 2013.

NSP3 Summary FY2013	
Total Authorized/Committed	\$5,000,000
Total Disbursed	\$4,792,618

Community Development Block Grant – Affordable Rental Housing

The Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) Disaster Funds for Affordable Rental Housing is funded through the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) and is considered a supplemental appropriation of the CDBG program. ADFA has been designated as administrator of \$10,075,141 set-aside for housing. The program is the result of Presidentially-declared disaster areas to rebuild or redevelop housing that was lost or damaged in the areas. ADFA has obligated \$9,435,260 of the CDBG Disaster Funds.

Table 24: CDBG Disaster Funds

CDBG Summary for FY 2013	
Total Award	10
Total Amount	\$10,075,141
Total Obligated	\$ 9,435,260

Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing

As lead state agency for the Consolidated Plan, Arkansas Economic Development Commission (AEDC) adopted goals and programs to affirmatively further fair housing and specifically to address impediments to fair housing in Arkansas. In addition to providing orientation and technical assistance to applicants and grantees, AEDC monitors 100% of grantees for compliance with fair housing requirements. AEDC makes planned site visits, reviews project records, and during the monitoring visit identifies compliance issues or related problems and AEDC follow up with a detail review. An exit interview is conducted with the grantee and administrator as available, and the results of the compliance review are presented in writing to the grantee. Any follow up actions unresolved will be addressed in the monitoring letter. At the completion of the prescribed time period, AEDC reviews progress and take appropriate action.

ADFA continually addresses impediments identified in the State's Analysis of Impediments (A/I) through its various housing programs. In the area of real estate impediments, the lack of homebuyer education and counseling programs was identified. Currently, there are 15 organizations across the State providing homebuyer education courses as part of ADFA's HomeToOwn Program and the Arkansas Dream Downpayment Initiative (ADDI). ADFA requires each buyer that receives ADDI assistance to attend an eight-hour homebuyer education course. In the area of socioeconomic impediments, lack of decent, safe, and sanitary housing and cost burden of housing were identified. Remedial actions included developing infill housing to rebuild older neighborhoods. A major activity that ADFA undertakes as part of the HOME Program is homeowner rehabilitation. As noted in this performance report, ADFA allocated 40% of its HOME Funds for homeowner

rehabilitation, which included reconstructed units in older neighborhoods. Secondly, in regards to the cost burden of housing, a remedial action recommended in the A/I included working with developers to provide lower cost housing. ADFA provides funding to developers in the form of mortgage subsidies to lower the acquisition costs of housing. ADFA continues to fund Tenant-Based Rental Assistance (TBRA) to areas to supplement their Section 8 vouchers and to communities that lack rental assistance to address the housing cost burden.

Arkansas Fair Housing Commission

As part of the efforts to address impediments identified in the States Analysis of Impediments, the Arkansas Fair Housing Commission was identified to develop education materials to increase public awareness of fair housing issues. During the program year, the Arkansas Fair Housing Commission published a brochure explaining fair housing, including mortgage violations, housing violations, and the complaint process. The information published by the State Fair Housing Commission is also accessible via their website at www.arkansasfairhousing.com. ADFA has a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) with Arkansas Fair Commission to develop and conduct workshops that will introduce participants to the key aspects of the Fair Housing Act and ANSI design standards; applicable ADA requirements; an overview of federal and state fair housing laws; reasonable accommodations and modifications; and compliance. ADFA will be requiring participants applying for HOME Program funds to successfully complete the Fair Housing Training Course prior to receiving funds.

Monitoring

The Arkansas Development Finance Authority Compliance Monitoring staff members monitor multi-family rental projects, homeowner rehabilitation, homebuyer down payment assistance, TBRA, acquisition or rehabilitation of rental housing, new construction of rental housing or any other approved use of HOME funds on an annual monitoring schedule as required by the HOME Program. ADFA's stated purpose of monitoring is to ensure that housing and housing-related services are delivered in accordance with all HOME Program requirements. During the 2013 Program Year, ADFA monitored approximately 1,959 units.

Following is the monitoring schedule ADFA utilizes in accordance with HOME Program regulations:

Property Inspection Schedule

- Every three (3) years for projects with 1 – 4 units
- Every two (2) years for projects with 5 – 25 units
- Annually for projects with 26 or more units

During the period of affordability, the Compliance Monitoring staff members closely monitor program records, Fair Housing compliance, tenant files, and housing quality standards. Staff members also review the annual Rental Project Compliance Report and the annual Homebuyer and Homeowner Compliance Status Report. By comparing the data on the annual reports to the documentation in the files, ADFA staff members ensure that rent and occupancy requirements are satisfied; that rental units are affordable to low-income and very low-income residents; that real estate taxes and homeowners insurance premiums are paid, and that units are safe, decent and sanitary.

C. HOUSEHOLDS AND PERSONS ASSISTED (Affordable Housing Activities)

Table 25 assimilates housing assistance information and estimates the total number of households and persons assisted by income group and tenure type during the reporting period.

Table 25: Households and Persons Assisted by Affordable Housing Programs

Income Groups & Programs	Renters	Homeowners	Total Households	Homeless Persons	Grand Total
0 to 30% of MFI					
HOME TBRA	964		964		964
HOME Rental	21		21		21
HOME Homeowner		2	2		2
ESG				12,206	12,206
Shelter Plus Care				328	328
HOPWA	119		119		119
LIHTC	30		30		30
Subtotal	1,134	2	1,136	12,534	13,670
31% to 50% MFI					
HOME TBRA	97		97		97
HOME Rental	31		31		31
HOME Homeowner		29	29		29
LIHTC	63		63		63
HOPWA	34		34		34
Subtotal	225	29	254	0	254
51% to 60% MFI					
HOME TBRA	13		13		13
HOME Rental	30		30		30
HOME Homeowner		11	11		11
LIHTC	509		509		509
Subtotal	552	11	563	0	563
61% to 80% MFI					
HOME TBRA	8		8		8
HOME Rental	4		4		4
HOME Homeowner		13	13		13
LIHTC	0		0		0
HOPWA	8		8		8
Subtotal	20	13	33		33
Total Housing Assistance Activities	1,931	55	1,986	12,534	14,520
HOME TBRA	1,082		1,082		1,082
HOME Rental	86		86		86
HOME Homeowner		55	55		55
ESG				12,206	12,206
Shelter Plus Care				328	328
HOPWA	161		161		161
LIHTC	602		602		602
Multi-Family Bonds	0		0		0
MRB		526	526		526
Grand Total	1,931	581	2,512	12,534	15,046

D. CONSOLIDATED PLAN AFFORDABLE HOUSING GOALS

In its Consolidated Plan, Arkansas articulated several long-term goals and objectives for increasing the supply of affordable housing in the State. This section of the CAPER reviews those goals, objectives and priorities and comments on how they were addressed by the State during the short-term reporting period.

- **Geographic Priorities.** Higher ratings were given to counties with racial and low-income concentrations; and housing resource agencies were encouraged to develop more affordable housing resources in counties with proportionately less subsidized rental housing.

CDBG Program. All of the CDBG program funds were allocated to projects that benefit low- and moderate-income families. A complete list of CDBG project allocations for FY 2013 is presented in Table 3, and Table 4 provides information on the number of CDBG beneficiaries, including low- to moderate-income families, minorities, elderly and persons with disabilities.

HOME Program. Approximately 100% of HOME Program funds were allocated to geographic areas initially targeted by the Consolidated Plan. In addition, 42% of HOME Program funds were allocated to projects in high growth counties. The numbers do not add to 100% because some counties may be included in more than one category and projects are also funded in counties that do not meet any of the

geographic priorities. See Appendix 2 for a full list of projects funded by the HOME Program during the reporting period.

67% funded in counties with high concentrations of minorities and/or low-income families, including 35% of HOME funds allocated to projects in Entitlement Cities.

7% funded in counties in Difficult Development areas;

42% funded in counties with higher than 10% population gain; and

20% funded in counties with between 5% and 10% population gain.

Emergency Solutions Grants Program. All ESG Program funds go to organizations that serve homeless individuals and families. Appendix 3 provides a complete list of ESG subgrantees for the reporting period. The ESG Program does not follow specifically the geographic targeting approach articulated in the Consolidated Plan. However, most of the homeless shelters are located in counties with high proportions of minorities and low-income families.

HOPWA Program. The HOPWA program funds are allocated to regional organizations (Project Sponsors) that provide housing assistance and supportive services to persons with AIDS/HIV and their families.

- **Housing Assistance Activity Types.**

Rehabilitation. Approximately 41% of HOME funds were allocated for homeowner housing rehabilitation and about 18% of HOME funds were allocated for rental housing rehabilitation projects in the 2013 program year.

New Construction. Approximately 0% of HOME program funds were allocated to construct new affordable homes and about 24% were allocated to construct new affordable rental housing in the 2013 program year.

Rental Assistance. Approximately 7% of HOME program funds were allocated for TBRA, providing housing for 241 families during the program year.

Homebuyers Assistance. Approximately 11% of HOME program funds were allocated to provide mortgage subsidies, ADDI, and HOME Downpayment Assistance loans providing assistance to 221 homeowners.

Supported Housing. Special needs populations are served by affordable housing projects funded with HOME program funds and community development projects funded with CDBG funds. ESG and HOPWA funds benefit directly persons with special needs. ADFA has an ongoing project with three (3) different nonprofit service providers for TBRA funds, which assisted tenants with special needs during the program year.

- **Type and Size of Household.** The following provides information on affordable housing priorities assigned in the Consolidated Plan to income groups, tenure type, and size of households and comments on FY 2013 results for each priority.

Type. Of the 2,512 units of affordable housing provided or developed, 77% were rental units (including TBRA) and 23% benefited homeowners.

Size of Household. Statistics are unavailable to determine the size of households benefiting from housing assistance activities.

- **Affordable Housing Objectives.** The 2010 Consolidated Plan set housing assistance objectives to guide the allocation of affordable housing resources during the five-year period. The following table provides the housing objectives and the results realized during the reporting period.

Table 26: Consolidated Plan Objectives and Reporting Period Results

Assistance Category	Objective(s)	Actual Results
Homeowner Housing Rehabilitation	Allocate approximately 29% of HOME Program funds for homeowner rehabilitation.	41% of FY 2013 HOME Program funds were allocated for homeowner housing rehabilitation projects.
Rental Housing Rehabilitation	Allocate approximately 15% of HOME Program funds for rental housing rehabilitation.	18% of FY 2013 HOME Program funds were allocated for rental rehabilitation
New Construction	Allocate approximately 23% of HOME Program funds for new construction	24% of FY 2013 HOME Program funds were allocated for new construction.
New Construction and Rehabilitation (LIHTC)	Through the LIHTC program augment the financing of approximately 602 units of multi-family rental housing for extremely low and low-income households per year.	A total of 602 units of rental housing for low-income families were developed using the LIHTC program.
Homebuyers Assistance	Allocate approximately 8% of HOME Program funds for homebuyers' assistance programs. *MRB funds were used for down payment assistance.	11% of FY 2013 HOME Program funds were allocated for mortgage subsidies, ADDI, and HOME DPA loans.
Homebuyers Assistance	Through the MRB program's lower interest rates, assist approximately 526 families per year in purchasing affordable single-family housing.	The MRB program impacts for FY 2013 were less than projected in the long-term plan.
Tenant-Based Rental Assistance	Allocate 8% of HOME Program funds for tenant based rental assistance payments.	7% of FY 2013 HOME Program funds was allocated for TBRA. Additional 1% was allocated to serve disabled individuals.
Tenant-Based Rental Assistance	Allocate approximately \$-0- per year of HOME Program funds for special Model projects that offer innovative and comprehensive community renewal strategies addressing affordable housing needs in community and neighborhood revitalization projects.	No funds were allocated in FY 2013 to Model Projects.

Rental Assistance

The HOME Program Tenant-Based Rental Assistance (TBRA) program has served approximately 5,024 families during the program years from 1992 through 2013. In addition, the HOPWA program provides TBRA funds each year for 75 households with HIV/AIDS. However, over the past three program years HOPWA has assisted approximately 311 households with TBRA, an average of 104 households per year over the past three program years. This is 29 more households served per year compared to the goal of 75 TBRA-assisted households per year as defined in the Consolidated Plan. Finally, the Shelter Plus Care program has served about 328 households per year.

- **Rental Assistance Five-Year Goal.** State funded or administered affordable housing programs will provide tenant based rental assistance services or housing to approximately 2,000 households during the Consolidated Plan period.

New Construction

Dramatic changes in population and demographic makeup have impacted many Arkansas communities since 1990. High-growth counties lack the number of subsidized rental housing units to accommodate the low-income families drawn to entry-level jobs. Communities losing population often lack the resources to address permanent supportive housing needs of elderly and special needs populations. New construction of affordable housing and permanent supportive housing will assist these communities impacted by dramatic population change in meeting the underserved needs of low-income families. HOME Program new construction funds are used to leverage projects financed through the LIHTC program, the Multi-Family Housing Bond program,

and the Rural Development Services Section 515 program. During the 2013 program year, the HOME, Section 515, LIHTC and Multi-Family bond programs combined to finance or leverage approximately 602 units and a large percentage of these units are new construction.

- **New Construction Five-Year Goal.** The HOME Program will finance about 600 units of new construction renter and homeowner units during the Consolidated Plan period.

Rehabilitation

Many small cities in Arkansas have aging older neighborhoods filled with older homes owner by elderly persons on fixed incomes who lack resources for routine maintenance and improvements. Thus, housing stock in many communities is deteriorating. In addition, rental properties available to persons who qualify for the Section 8 rental assistance program often do not meet minimum property standards. Therefore, the State will seek to increase and leverage funding for renter and homeowner rehabilitation programs. The HOME Program provides both renter and homeowner rehabilitation funds. During the 2013 program year, the HOME Program financed the rehabilitation of approximately 64 units.

- **Rehabilitation Five-Year Goal.** The HOME Program will finance rehabilitation of approximately 750 owner and rental units during the Consolidated Plan period.

In addition, families purchasing existing properties through the MRB program can include rehabilitation costs in the mortgage financing for the house. No estimates are available for the

numbers of existing properties that include rehabilitation, which are purchased through the MRB program.

Acquisition

Acquiring existing properties and making them available for low-income and special needs groups is one approach to meeting affordable and supportive housing needs in areas impacted by dramatic population changes. In addition, acquisition of existing single room occupancy properties can be an approach for providing transitional and permanent supportive housing for homeless individuals. During the 2013 program year the HOME Program approved 221 ADDI loans.

- **Acquisition Five-Year Goal.** ADFA programs will be used to acquire approximately 500 units for use by low- to moderate-income households.

In addition, the MRB program finances approximately 500 home purchases each year. And, the MRB program finances a first-time homebuyer program that makes down payment assistance available at low interest rates.

E. OTHER ACTIONS

Housing Assistance Goals to Address Homelessness. The Consolidated Plan established the following housing assistance goals and priorities to address homelessness.

- Helping low-income families avoid becoming homeless;
- Reaching out to homeless persons and assessing their individual needs;
- Addressing the emergency shelter and transitional housing needs of homeless persons; and

- Helping homeless persons make the transition to permanent housing and independent living.

Table 27: Homeless Priority Needs

Homeless Priority Needs	Priority Need Level	Comments on Status During the Reporting Period
Assessment Outreach	High	Mental Health agencies and the Veterans Administration provide some assessment outreach services. Few other agencies are qualified and equipped to provide assessment outreach services.
Emergency Shelter	High	Approximately 99 shelters operate in the state and ESG funds assist 55 of these, serving 12,206 homeless individuals and families.
Transitional Housing	High	Transitional housing resources are very limited and mostly provided through private donations.
Permanent Supportive Housing	High	Demand far exceeds the supply of permanent supportive housing in Arkansas. A few nonprofit organizations sponsor supportive housing for their clientele, but overall supply is very limited.
Permanent Housing	High	Permanent affordable housing for extremely low-income families and persons is very limited in Arkansas. Housing providers sponsor and manage very limited housing resources.

PREPARATION OF A NEW FIVE-YEAR CONSOLIDATED PLAN. The Consolidated Plan Committee guided and directed the preparation of a new Consolidated Plan for the period 2010 through 2015. The Governor designated the AEDC as the lead agency for preparation of the Consolidated Plan. The new plan was completed in May 2010, submitted to HUD and approved by HUD in July 2010.

For FY 2013 the committee incorporated a Performance Measures system designed to satisfy HUD requirements for accountability. These measures will be reflected in the CAPER for 2013-2014.

Annual Performance Assessment. In preparing the Annual Performance and Evaluation Report for last fiscal year (FY 2013), the Consolidated Plan Committee reviewed the Consolidated Plan of the previous year and attempted to incorporate lessons learned from these experiences into the new action plan. Comprehensive planning and coordinated use of state and federal resources at the local level emerged as one of the major areas of emphasis in the new plan. In addition, state agencies agreed to renew objectives to develop model projects that will demonstrate effective use of housing, community and economic development resources for problem solving at the local level.

Welfare Reform Initiatives. The agencies making up the Consolidated Plan Committee also have representatives involved closely in implementing workforce development initiatives, including the Transitional Employment Assistance program. The Consolidated Plan emphasizes economic development, job development and working with community-based organizations to benefit low-income persons seeking training, employment and supportive services.

F. CONCLUSIONS

This Annual Performance Review concludes the following:

- **Community and Economic Development Results.** Tables 4 and 5 on pages 16 and 17 indicate the CDBG Program directly benefited 18,316 persons or families, benefiting 15,876 low- to moderate-income persons or families for 61% low- to moderate-income impact. CDBG funds were invested in high priority public facility and economic development projects, consistent with the Consolidated Plan long-term and short-term.

- **Housing Assistance Results.** Affordable housing programs made a substantial impact generating or providing a total of 2,512 units. Of these, approximately 24% were provided through the Low-income Housing Tax Credit Program, and approximately 21% were financed through the single family Mortgage Revenue Bond program. ESG funded no units, HOME funds provided 49% of the units, and the balance were provided through the HOPWA program. This output is in line with the five-year Consolidated Plan.
- **Special Needs Populations Results.** The ESG program partially funded services and facilities for 12,206 homeless individuals and families, and the HOPWA program benefited 161 households with TBRA and short-term rent, mortgage, and utility assistance (STRMU). Overall the total number of ALL beneficiaries served with HOPWA Housing Subsidy Assistance (TBRA, STRMU, PHP, and support services) was 279. The CDBG program expects to benefit directly 8,118 elderly persons and 2,406 persons with disabilities. In addition, all special-needs groups qualify for and participate in affordable housing programs financed by ADFA.

APPENDIX

Appendix 1:
Arkansas Counties
Geographic Designations

Consolidated Plan County Designations

Counties	Low Income	Racial Concentration	10% Population Gain	Difficult Development Areas	5% Population Gain	CDBG Entitlement Cities
Arkansas		Yes				Bentonville
Ashley		Yes				Conway
Baxter			Yes	Yes		Fayetteville
Benton			Yes			Ft. Smith
Boone			Yes			Hot Springs
Bradley	Yes	Yes			Yes	Jacksonville
Calhoun		Yes				Jonesboro
Carroll			Yes	Yes		Little Rock
Chicot	Yes	Yes				North Little Rock
Clark		Yes			Yes	Pine Bluff
Clay						Rogers
Cleburne			Yes	Yes		Springdale
Cleveland		Yes	Yes			Texarkana
Columbia		Yes				West Memphis
Conway		Yes			Yes	
Craighead			Yes			
Crawford			Yes			
Crittenden	Yes	Yes				
Cross		Yes				
Dallas		Yes				
Desha	Yes	Yes				
Drew		Yes		Yes	Yes	
Faulkner			Yes			
Franklin			Yes			
Fulton	Yes		Yes			
Garland			Yes	Yes		
Grant			Yes			
Greene			Yes			
Hempstead		Yes			Yes	
Hot Spring			Yes			
Howard		Yes			Yes	
Independence					Yes	
Izard			Yes			
Jackson	Yes	Yes				
Jefferson		Yes				
Johnson			Yes			
Lafayette	Yes	Yes		Yes		
Lawrence	Yes					
Lee	Yes	Yes				
Lincoln		Yes			Yes	
Little River		Yes				
Logan					Yes	
Lonoke			Yes			
Madison			Yes			
Marion			Yes		Yes	
Miller	Yes	Yes			Yes	
Mississippi		Yes		Yes		
Monroe	Yes	Yes				
Montgomery			Yes	Yes		

Consolidated Plan County Designations

Counties	Low Income	Racial Concentration	10% Population Gain	Difficult Development Areas	5% Population Gain	CDBG Entitlement Cities
Nevada		Yes		Yes		
Newton	Yes		Yes			
Ouachita		Yes				
Perry			Yes			
Phillips	Yes	Yes				
Pike			Yes	Yes		
Poinsett						
Polk			Yes			
Pope			Yes			
Prairie						
Pulaski		Yes				
Randolph					Yes	
St. Francis	Yes	Yes				
Saline			Yes			
Scott					Yes	
Searcy	Yes				Yes	
Sebastian		Yes	Yes			
Sevier		Yes	Yes			
Sharp			Yes			
Stone			Yes			
Union		Yes				
Van Buren			Yes			
Washington			Yes			
White			Yes			
Woodruff	Yes	Yes				
Yell		Yes	Yes			

Appendix 2:
HOME Program
Projects by Housing Activity
and Geographic Designation

HOME PROGRAM
APPLICATION LISTING
JULY 1, 2013 - JUNE 30, 2014

APPLICANT NAME	PROJECT NAME/CITY/COUNTY	ALLOCATED AMOUNT	ENTITLEMENT CITIES	MINORITY & LI	DIFFICULT TO DEVELOP AREA	10% Pop Gain	5% to 10% Pop Gain
CHDO SET ASIDE:							
Cottages at Conway	Cottages at Conway/Conway/Faulkner Co	\$900,000.00	\$900,000.00			\$900,000.00	
Lofts at Texarkana	Lofts/Texarkana/Miller Co	\$900,000.00	\$900,000.00	\$900,000.00			\$900,000.00
CHDO TOTAL:		\$1,800,000.00	\$1,800,000	\$900,000	\$0	\$900,000	\$900,000
PROGRAM FUNDS:							
HOMEOWNER - NEW CONSTRUCTION AND REHAB APPLICATIONS:							
City of Etowah	Etowah/Mississippi Co	\$396,000.00		\$396,000.00	\$396,000.00		
Arkansas Land & Farm Dev Corp	Marianna/Haynes/Lee Co	\$352,000.00		\$352,000.00			
Eastern Arkansas Comm Outreach & Dev Corp.	West Memphis/Crittenden Co	\$1,930.00	\$1,930.00	\$1,930.00			
City of Lake Village	Lake Village/Chicot Co	\$396,000.00		\$396,000.00			
CAPDD	DeValls Bluff/Des Arc/Prairie Co	\$423,500.00		\$423,500.00			
Drew Co. Public Fac Board	Dumas/Tillar/Desha Co	\$257,393.50		\$257,393.50			
City of Stuttgart	Stuttgart/Arkansas Co	\$396,000.00		\$396,000.00			
Warren HA	Warren/Bradley Co	\$396,000.00		\$396,000.00		\$396,000.00	
Arkansas Land & Farm Dev Corp	Brinkley/Holly Grove/Monroe Co	\$396,000.00		\$396,000.00			
City of Stuttgart	Stuttgart/Arkansas Co	\$495,000.00					
Crawford Sebastian CDC	Charleston/Huntington/Crawford/Sebastian Co	\$455,730.00	\$455,730.00	\$455,730.00		\$455,730.00	
Drew Co. Public Fac Board	Monticello/Desha Co	\$176,232.00		\$176,232.00			
Eastern Arkansas Comm Outreach & Dev Corp.	Edmondson, Proctor, West Memphis/Crittenden Co	\$5,443.80	\$5,443.00	\$5,443.00			
Boys, Girls and Adults CDC	Marvell & Poplar Grove/Phillips Co	\$8,229.99		\$8,229.99			
Helena/West Helena	Helena/West Helena/Phillips Co	\$5,750.00		\$5,750.00			
HOMEOWNER TOTAL :		\$4,161,209.29	\$463,103	\$3,666,208	\$396,000	\$851,730	\$0
MULTI-FAMILY - NEW CONSTRUCTION AND REHAB APPLICATIONS							
Flippin Partners LP	Hillside/Flippin/Marion Co	\$450,000.00				\$450,000.00	\$450,000.00
Hoxie Parkwood LP	Parkwood/Hoxie/Lawrence Co	\$219,300.00		\$219,300.00			
Peaks at Searcy LP	Peaks at Searcy/Searcy/White Co	\$450,000.00				\$450,000.00	
Broadway Partners III	Riverside Park III/West Memphis/Crittenden Co	\$450,000.00	\$450,000.00	\$450,000.00			
Villas at West Memphis II, LP	Villas II/West Memphis/Crittenden Co	\$450,000.00	\$450,000.00	\$450,000.00			
Villas at Searcy, LP	Villas of Searcy/Searcy/White Co	\$450,000.00				\$450,000.00	
MULTI-FAMILY TOTAL:		\$2,469,300.00	\$900,000	\$1,119,300	\$0	\$1,350,000	\$450,000
TBRA APPLICATIONS:							
Lifestyles, Inc.	Hot Springs/Benton/Garland/Saline Co	\$65,120.00	\$65,120.00		\$65,120.00	\$65,120.00	
White River Regional HA	Melbourne/Ash Flat/Izard, Sharp, Stone Co	\$225,000.00				\$225,000.00	
White River Regional HA	Cave City/Searcy/McCrory/Independence, White, Woodruff Co	\$225,000.00		\$225,000.00		\$225,000.00	\$225,000.00
Northwest Regional HA	Harrison, Berryville/Huntsville/Baxter, Boone, Carroll, Madison, Marion, Newton, Searcy Co	\$223,704.00		\$223,704.00	\$223,704.00	\$223,704.00	\$223,704.00
TBRA TOTAL :		\$738,824.00	\$65,120	\$448,704	\$288,824	\$738,824	\$448,704

HOME PROGRAM
APPLICATION LISTING
JULY 1, 2013 - JUNE 30, 2014

ADDI APPLICATIONS:								
ADDI Loans	Various AR Counties	\$1,091,904.00						
ADDI TOTAL:		\$1,091,904.00						
GRAND TOTAL PROGRAM FUNDS:		\$10,261,237.29	\$3,228,223	\$6,134,212	\$684,824	\$3,840,554	\$1,798,704	

Appendix 3:
Emergency Shelter Grants
Projects

CR-60 - ESG 91.520(g) (ESG Recipients only)

ESG Supplement to the CAPER in *e-snaps*

For Paperwork Reduction Act

1. Recipient Information—All Recipients Complete

Basic Grant Information

Recipient Name	ARKANSAS
Name of Organization or Department	ARKANSAS DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES
Administering Funds:	
Organizational DUNS Number	02-472-0901
EIN/TIN Number	71-0847443
Identify the Field Office	LITTLE ROCK
Identify CoC(s) in which the recipient or subrecipient(s) will provide ESG assistance	Little Rock/Central Arkansas CoC

ESG Contact Name

Prefix	
First Name	ED
Middle Name	
Last Name	Nilles
Suffix	
Title	Grants Analyst

ESG Contact Address

Street Address 1	700 Main Street
Street Address 2	Slot S-330
City	Little Rock
State	Arkansas
ZIP Code	72201
Phone Number	501-682-3679
Extension	
Fax Number	501-682-6736
Email Address	edward.nilles@arkansas.gov

ESG Secondary Contact

Prefix	
First Name	Delia
Last Name	Anderson
Suffix	
Title	Director of Division County Operations
Phone Number	501-682-8715
Extension	
Email Address	delia.anderson@arkansas.gov

2. Reporting Period—All Recipients Complete

Program Year Start Date 07/01/2013
Program Year End Date 06/30/2014

3a. Subrecipient Form – Complete one form for each subrecipient

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: CROWLEY'S RIDGE DEVELOPMENT COUNCIL, INC

City: Jonesboro

State: AR

Zip Code: 72403, 1497

DUNS Number:

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 16199

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: CRAWFORD-SEBASTIAN COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT COUNCIL, INC.

City: Fort Smith

State: AR

Zip Code: 72914, 4069

DUNS Number: 174148247

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 112700

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: NEXT STEP DAY ROOM, INC.

City: Fort Smith

State: AR

Zip Code: 72901, 2103

DUNS Number: 807060751

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 16199

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: SEVEN HILLS HOMELESS CENTER-NWARK

City: Fayetteville

State: AR

Zip Code: 72702, 0474

DUNS Number: 091443510

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 206563

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: THE SALVATION ARMY-TEXARKANA

City: Texarkana

State: AR

Zip Code: 71854, 6017

DUNS Number: 124154969

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Faith-Based Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 95153

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: CITY OF PINE BLUFF

City: Pine Bluff

State: AR

Zip Code: 71601, 5006

DUNS Number: 107961851

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Unit of Government

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 52638

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: RIVER VALLEY UNITED WAY

City: Russellville

State: AR

Zip Code: 72811, 0636

DUNS Number: 805459237

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 61400

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: BETTER COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT, INC.

City: Little Rock

State: AR

Zip Code: 72204, 2042

DUNS Number: 787839448

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 16199

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: CITY OF HOPE SHELTER

City: Hope

State: AR

Zip Code: 71802, 0596

DUNS Number: 137735726

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Unit of Government

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 16199

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: COMPREHENSIVE JUVENILE SERVICES

City: Fort Smith

State: AR

Zip Code: 72901, 5135

DUNS Number: 103656385

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 16199

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: CONSOLIDATED YOUTH SERVICES

City: Jonesboro

State: AR

Zip Code: 72404, 9384

DUNS Number: 070774625

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 16199

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: COUNSELING ASSOCIATES-HAVEN

City: Russellville

State: AR

Zip Code: 72801, 3362

DUNS Number: 075661728

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 16199

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: CRISIS INTERVENTION CENTER

City: Fort Smith

State: AR

Zip Code: 72901, 8483

DUNS Number: 164587321

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: Y

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 16199

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: EAST ARKANSAS YOUTH SERVICES

City: Marion

State: AR

Zip Code: 72364, 1819

DUNS Number: 557510955

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 16199

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: ECONOMIC AND NON-PROFIT SOLUTIONS

City: Stuttgart

State: AR

Zip Code: 72160, 4420

DUNS Number: 803619928

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 16199

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: FAMILY PROMISE OF PULASKI COUNTY

City: Little Rock

State: AR

Zip Code: 72202, 3902

DUNS Number: 624428103

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 16199

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: GAINES HOUSE

City: Little Rock

State: AR

Zip Code: 72206, 1227

DUNS Number: 136210655

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 16199

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: GENESIS HOUSE

City: Siloam Springs

State: AR

Zip Code: 72761, 1506

DUNS Number: 098576858

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 16199

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: GRANT COUNTY UNIFIED COMMUNITY RESOURCE COUNCIL

City: Sheridan

State: AR

Zip Code: 72150, 0323

DUNS Number: 137055021

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: Y

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 16199

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: GYST HOUSE

City: Little Rock

State: AR

Zip Code: 72209, 4665

DUNS Number: 941775298

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 16199

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: HEALTH RESOURCES OF ARKANSAS

City: Batesville

State: AR

Zip Code: 72503, 2578

DUNS Number: 071265664

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 16199

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: HOPE IN ACTION

City: Hope

State: AR

Zip Code: 71801, 5003

DUNS Number: 199532540

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 16199

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: JOHNSON COUNTY HELPING HANDS

City: Clarksville

State: AR

Zip Code: 72830, 2909

DUNS Number: 831139386

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: Y

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 16199

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: LITTLE ROCK COMMUNITY MENTAL HEALTH CENTER

City: Little Rock

State: AR

Zip Code: 72225, 0337

DUNS Number: 939924759

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 16199

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: LONOKE COUNTY SAFE HAVEN

City: Cabot

State: AR

Zip Code: 72023, 0414

DUNS Number: 624615303

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: Y

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 16199

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: MARGIE'S HAVEN HOUSE

City: Heber Springs

State: AR

Zip Code: 72543, 0954

DUNS Number: 019427066

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: Y

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 16199

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: MISSION OUTREACH OF NORTHEAST ARKANSAS

City: Paragould

State: AR

Zip Code: 72451, 1122

DUNS Number: 167584473

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 71667

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: MISSISSIPPI COUNTY UNION MISSION

City: Blytheville

State: AR

Zip Code: 72316, 0501

DUNS Number: 081341091

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Faith-Based Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 60062

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: OPTIONS, INC.

City: Monticello

State: AR

Zip Code: 71657, 0554

DUNS Number: 625546544

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: Y

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 16199

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: OUACHITA CHILDREN'S CENTER

City: Hot Springs

State: AR

Zip Code: 71902, 1180

DUNS Number: 096677190

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 16199

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: OUR HOUSE, INC.

City: Little Rock

State: AR

Zip Code: 72203, 4155

DUNS Number: 802964403

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 16199

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: PEACE AT HOME FAMILY SHELTER

City: Fayetteville

State: AR

Zip Code: 72703, 0051

DUNS Number: 029494593

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: Y

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 16199

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: RECOVERY CENTERS OF ARKANSAS

City: North Little Rock

State: AR

Zip Code: 72114, 4583

DUNS Number: 144721974

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 16199

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: RIVER CITY MINISTRY OF PULASKI COUNTY

City: North Little Rock

State: AR

Zip Code: 72114, 5853

DUNS Number: 843604836

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 16199

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: RIVER VALLEY SHELTER FOR BATTERED WOMEN AND CHILDREN

City: Russellville

State: AR

Zip Code: 72811, 2066

DUNS Number: 099684933

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: Y

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 16199

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: CENTERS FOR YOUTH AND FAMILIES

City: Little Rock

State: AR

Zip Code: 72225, 1970

DUNS Number: 010315539

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 16199

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: FAMILIES IN TRANSITION

City: West Memphis

State: AR

Zip Code: 72303, 0015

DUNS Number: 098555860

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: Y

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 16199

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: THE SALVATION ARMY-EL DORADO

City: El Dorado

State: AR

Zip Code: 71730, 6225

DUNS Number: 124154662

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Faith-Based Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 16199

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: THE SALVATION ARMY-JONESBORO

City: Jonesboro

State: AR

Zip Code: 72401, 3016

DUNS Number: 073511404

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Faith-Based Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 16199

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: THE SALVATION ARMY-LITTLE ROCK

City: Little Rock

State: AR

Zip Code: 72201, 1216

DUNS Number: 603209334

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Faith-Based Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 16199

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: THE SALVATION ARMY-PINE BLUFF

City: Pine Bluff

State: AR

Zip Code: 71601, 5810

DUNS Number: 021343132

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Faith-Based Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 16199

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: SAMARITAN OUTREACH

City: Dardanelle

State: AR

Zip Code: 72834, 0183

DUNS Number: 800039328

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: Y

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 16199

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: SANCTUARY, INC.

City: Harrison

State: AR

Zip Code: 72602, 0762

DUNS Number: 959369083

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: Y

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 16199

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: SECOND CHANGE RECOVERY CENTER

City: Pine Bluff

State: AR

Zip Code: 71613, 2039

DUNS Number: 791119485

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 16199

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: SERENITY, INC.

City: Mountain Home

State: AR

Zip Code: 72654, 1111

DUNS Number: 806437547

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: Y

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 16199

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: ST. FRANCIS HOUSE

City: Little Rock

State: AR

Zip Code: 72214, 4490

DUNS Number: 164575813

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 16199

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: STONE COUNTY ABUSE PREVENTION

City: Mountain View

State: AR

Zip Code: 72560, 0689

DUNS Number: 142559124

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: Y

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 16199

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: THE HAVEN OF NORTHEAST ARKANSAS

City: Blytheville

State: AR

Zip Code: 72316, 1062

DUNS Number: 084139729

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: Y

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 16199

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: THE SAFE PLACE

City: Morrilton

State: AR

Zip Code: 72110, 0364

DUNS Number: 130084627

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: Y

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 16199

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: WOMEN'S SHELTER OF CENTRAL ARKANSAS

City: Conway

State: AR

Zip Code: 72033, 2557

DUNS Number: 090100293

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: Y

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 16199

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: WHITE RIVER WOMEN'S SHELTER

City: Newport

State: AR

Zip Code: 72112, 0304

DUNS Number: 127624398

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: Y

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 16199

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: CASA Women's Shelter

City: Pine Bluff

State: AR

Zip Code: 71601, 5872

DUNS Number: 176089063

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: Y

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 16199

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: Fort Smith Children's Emergency Shelter, Inc.

City: Fort Smith

State: AR

Zip Code: 72901, 6317

DUNS Number: 963759894

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 16199

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: Harrison House of Hope

City: Harrison

State: AR

Zip Code: 72601, 4442

DUNS Number: 040234211

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 16199

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: Spring River Adult/Child Services

City: Hardy

State: AR

Zip Code: 72542, 0474

DUNS Number: 827029674

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: Y

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 16199

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: St. Francis House
City: Little Rock
State: AR
Zip Code: 72204, 6339
DUNS Number: 164575813
Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N
Subrecipient Organization Type: Faith-Based Organization
ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 16199

CR-65 - Persons Assisted

4. Persons Served

4a. Complete for Homelessness Prevention Activities

Number of Persons in Households	Total
Adults	555
Children	205
Don't Know/Refused/Other	5
Missing Information	0
Total	765

Table 1 – Household Information for Homeless Prevention Activities

4b. Complete for Rapid Re-Housing Activities

Number of Persons in Households	Total
Adults	441
Children	329
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0
Missing Information	0
Total	770

Table 2 – Household Information for Rapid Re-Housing Activities

4c. Complete for Shelter

Number of Persons in Households	Total
Adults	10,289
Children	773
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0
Missing Information	0
Total	11,062

Table 3 – Shelter Information

4d. Street Outreach

Number of Persons in Households	Total
Adults	84
Children	6
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0
Missing Information	0
Total	90

Table 4 – Household Information for Street Outreach

4e. Totals for all Persons Served with ESG

Number of Persons in Households	Total
Adults	9,790
Children	2,630
Don't Know/Refused/Other	5
Missing Information	76
Total	12,501

Table 5 – Household Information for Persons Served with ESG

5. Gender—Complete for All Activities

	Total
Male	7,543
Female	4,926
Transgender	2
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0
Missing Information	30
Total	12,501

Table 6 – Gender Information

6. Age—Complete for All Activities

	Total
Under 18	2,638
18-24	1,124
25 and over	8,656
Don't Know/Refused/Other	32
Missing Information	51
Total	12,501

Table 7 – Age Information

7. Special Populations Served—Complete for All Activities

Number of Persons in Households

Subpopulation	Total Persons Served – Prevention	Total Persons Served – RRH	Total Persons Served in Emergency Shelters	Total
Veterans	1,012	21	16	975
Victims of Domestic Violence	1,866	226	14	1,281
Elderly	458	4	12	442
HIV/AIDS	43	1	1	41
Chronically Homeless	1,130	0	43	1,087
Persons with Disabilities:				
Severely Mentally Ill	1,294	20	14	1,254
Chronic Substance Abuse	1,426	24	18	1,357
Other Disability	1,328	12	18	1,298
Total (Unduplicated if possible)	8,557	308	136	7,735

Table 8 – Special Population Served

CR-70 – ESG 91.520(g) - Assistance Provided and Outcomes

8. Shelter Utilization

Number of New Units - Rehabbed	0
Number of New Units - Conversion	0
Total Number of bed-nights available	336,895
Total Number of bed-nights provided	235,826
Capacity Utilization	70.00%

Table 9 – Shelter Capacity

9. Project Outcomes Data measured under the performance standards developed in consultation with the CoC(s)

<p align="center">See Attachment One </p>

CR-75 – Expenditures

11. Expenditures

11a. ESG Expenditures for Homelessness Prevention

	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year		
	FY 2009	FY 2010	FY 2011
Expenditures for Rental Assistance	94,180	89,049	206,641
Expenditures for Housing Relocation and Stabilization Services - Financial Assistance	0	0	0
Expenditures for Housing Relocation & Stabilization Services - Services	0	0	0
Expenditures for Homeless Prevention under Emergency Shelter Grants Program	0	0	0
Subtotal Homelessness Prevention	94,180	89,049	206,641

Table 10 – ESG Expenditures for Homelessness Prevention

11b. ESG Expenditures for Rapid Re-Housing

	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year		
	FY 2009	FY 2010	FY 2011
Expenditures for Rental Assistance	586,941	747,459	741,477
Expenditures for Housing Relocation and Stabilization Services - Financial Assistance	0	0	0
Expenditures for Housing Relocation & Stabilization Services - Services	0	0	0
Expenditures for Homeless Assistance under Emergency Shelter Grants Program	0	0	0
Subtotal Rapid Re-Housing	586,941	747,459	741,477

Table 11 – ESG Expenditures for Rapid Re-Housing

11c. ESG Expenditures for Emergency Shelter

	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year		
	FY 2009	FY 2010	FY 2011
Essential Services	0	234,840	0
Operations	1,034,297	779,730	560,125
Renovation	0	0	0
Major Rehab	0	8,750	0
Conversion	0	0	0
Subtotal	1,034,297	1,023,320	560,125

Table 12 – ESG Expenditures for Emergency Shelter

11d. Other Grant Expenditures

	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year		
	FY 2009	FY 2010	FY 2011
HMIS	38,000	21,500	33,000
Administration	499	30,154	0
Street Outreach	0	800	1,586

Table 13 - Other Grant Expenditures

11e. Total ESG Grant Funds

Total ESG Funds Expended	FY 2009	FY 2010	FY 2011
5,206,642	1,753,917	1,911,482	1,541,243

Table 14 - Total ESG Funds Expended

11f. Match Source

	FY 2009	FY 2010	FY 2011
Other Non-ESG HUD Funds	0	0	129,461
Other Federal Funds	126,500	2,000	77,846
State Government	87,499	87,834	88,931
Local Government	111,620	75,398	37,898
Private Funds	364,718	677,431	260,148
Other	1,126,839	1,353,493	1,158,576
Fees	0	1,345	3,412
Program Income	0	0	18,949
Total Match Amount	1,817,176	2,197,501	1,775,221

Table 15 - Other Funds Expended on Eligible ESG Activities

11g. Total

Total Amount of Funds Expended on ESG Activities	FY 2009	FY 2010	FY 2011
10,996,540	3,571,093	4,108,983	3,316,464

Table 16 - Total Amount of Funds Expended on ESG Activities



Housing Opportunities for Persons with AIDS (HOPWA) Program

Consolidated Annual Performance and Evaluation Report (CAPER) Measuring Performance Outcomes

July 01, 2013-June 30, 2014

OMB Number 2506-0133 (Expiration Date: 10/31/2014)

The CAPER report for HOPWA formula grantees provides annual information on program accomplishments that supports program evaluation and the ability to measure program beneficiary outcomes as related to: maintain housing stability; prevent homelessness; and improve access to care and support. This information is also covered under the Consolidated Plan Management Process (CPMP) report and includes Narrative Responses and Performance Charts required under the Consolidated Planning regulations. The public reporting burden for the collection of information is estimated to average 42 hours per manual response, or less if an automated data collection and retrieval system is in use, along with 60 hours for record keeping, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Grantees are required to report on the activities undertaken only, thus there may be components of these reporting requirements that may not be applicable. This agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to a collection of information unless that collection displays a valid OMB control number.

**Housing Opportunities for Person with AIDS (HOPWA)
Consolidated Annual Performance and Evaluation Report (CAPER)
Measuring Performance Outputs and Outcomes**

OMB Number 2506-0133 (Expiration Date: 10/31/2014)

Part 1: Grantee Executive Summary

1. Grantee Information

HUD Grant Number ARH013-F999	Operating Year for this report <i>From: 07/01/13 To: 06/30/14</i>			
Grantee Name State of Arkansas, Arkansas Department of Health (ADH)				
Business Address	4815 West Markham, Slot 33			
City, County, State, Zip	Little Rock	Pulaski	AR	72205
Employer Identification Number (EIN) or Tax Identification Number (TIN)	71-6007358			
DUN & Bradstreet Number (DUNs):	809873185	Central Contractor Registration (CCR): Is the grantee's CCR status currently active? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No If yes, provide CCR Number:		
*Congressional District of Grantee's Business Address	2			
*Congressional District of Primary Service Area(s)				
*City(ies) <u>and</u> County(ies) of Primary Service Area(s)	Cities:		Counties:	
Organization's Website Address Healthy.arkansas.gov Arkansashivstd.com	Is there a waiting list(s) for HOPWA Housing Subsidy Assistance Services in the Grantee service Area? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No If yes, explain in the narrative section what services maintain a waiting list and how this list is administered.			

* Service delivery area information only needed for program activities being directly carried out by the grantee.

2a. Project Sponsor Information (NARAN)

Project Sponsor Agency Name Northeast Arkansas Regional AIDS Network (NARAN)		Parent Company Name, if applicable N/A	
Name and Title of Contact at Project Sponsor Agency		Debbie Biazo, Executive Director	
Email Address		debbiazo@sbcglobal.net	
Business Address		1000 S. Caraway Rd, Ste 110	
City, County, State, Zip,		Jonesboro, Craighead County, AR 72401	
Phone Number (with area code)		(870) 931 4448	
Employer Identification Number (EIN) or Tax Identification Number (TIN)		58-2022992	Fax Number (with area code) (870) 931 4149
DUN & Bradstreet Number (DUNs):		849660402	
Congressional District of Project Sponsor's Business Address		1	
Congressional District(s) of Primary Service Area(s)		N/A	
City(ies) and County(ies) of Primary Service Area(s)		Cities: Fayetteville, Springdale, Rogers, Fort Smith, Hot Springs, Texarkana, Pine Bluff, Crossett, Eldorado, Bella Vista, Ashdown, Clarksville, Van Buren, Lowell, Barling, Farmington, Bentonville, Camden, Malvern, Arkadelphia, Mena, Stuttgart, Monticello	Counties: Benton, Carroll, Boone, Washington, Madison, Newton, Crawford, Franklin, Johnson, Pope, Sebastian, Logan, Scott, Yell, Polk, Montgomery, Garland, Sevier, Howard, Pike, Clark, Hot Spring, Dallas, Little River, Hempstead, Nevada, Ouachita, Calhoun, Miller, Lafayette, Columbia, Union, Jefferson, Arkansas, Cleveland, Lincoln, Bradley, Drew, Desha, Ashley, Chicot
Total HOPWA contract amount for this Organization for the operating year		\$430,000.00	
Organization's Website Address			
Is the sponsor a nonprofit organization? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No Please check if yes and a faith-based organization. <input type="checkbox"/> Please check if yes and a grassroots organization. <input type="checkbox"/>		Does your organization maintain a waiting list? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No If yes, explain in the narrative section how this list is administered.	

2b. Project Sponsor Information (ARcare)

Project Sponsor Agency Name ARcare		Parent Company Name, if applicable N/A	
Name and Title of Contact at Project Sponsor Agency		KRISSTEN BAGWELL ARCARE SPECIAL SERVICES PROGRAM COORDINATOR	
Email Address		Krissten.bagwell@arcare.net	
Business Address		623 North 9 th Street (P.O. Box 497)	
City, County, State, Zip,		Augusta, Woodruff Co., AR 72006	
Phone Number (with area code)		870-347-3449	
Employer Identification Number (EIN) or Tax Identification Number (TIN)		58-1666749	Fax Number (with area code) 870-347-3031
DUN & Bradstreet Number (DUNs):		627884190	
Congressional District of Project Sponsor's Business Address		DISTRICT 2	
Congressional District(s) of Primary Service Area(s)		DISTRICT 2 AND DISTRICT 3	
City(ies) and County(ies) of Primary Service Area(s)		Cities: White (Searcy AR) Craighead (Jonesboro AR) St. Francis (Forrest City), Independence (Batesville AR), Mississippi(Osceola),	
Total HOPWA contract amount for this Organization for the operating year		\$69,963.00	Counties: Marion, Baxter, Fulton, Searcy, Stone, Izard, Sharp, Lawrence, Van Buren, Cleburne, Independence, Jackson, White, Woodruff, Prairie, Randolph, Clay, Greene, Craighead, Mississippi, Poinsett, Cross, Lee, Monroe, St. Francis, Phillips, Conway
Organization's Website Address www.arcare.net		Does your organization maintain a waiting list? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No If yes, explain in the narrative section how this list is administered.	
Is the sponsor a nonprofit organization? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No Please check if yes and a faith-based organization. <input type="checkbox"/> Please check if yes and a grassroots organization. <input type="checkbox"/>		Does your organization maintain a waiting list? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes If yes, explain in the narrative section how this list is administered.	

3. Administrative Subrecipient Information

N/A

4. Program Subrecipient Information

N/A

5. Grantee Narrative and Performance Assessment

a. Grantee and Community Overview

The Arkansas Department of Health (ADH) STI/HIV/Hepatitis-C/TB Section administers the HOPWA Program for 68 of the 75 counties in the State of Arkansas. The State contracts with two Project Sponsors.

Geography

HOPWA service areas under the ADH are divided into **five (5)** districts, covering the 68 of the state's 75 counties as mentioned above.

HOPWA services to northeastern Crittenden County are covered under the Memphis Eligible Metropolitan Statistical Area (EMSA) and therefore not covered by the ADH.

HOPWA services to the central Arkansas geographic region (comprising of six counties: Faulkner, Grant, Lonoke, Perry, Pulaski, and Saline) are covered under separate HUD grant funding to the City of Little Rock Administration.

Funding

Funding for Arkansas' **five (5)** districts was determined using the most current HIV/AIDS epidemiological data by county. The total number of cases by county was compiled for each district; consequently, each district received a corresponding percentage of HOPWA funds for GY '13-'14 (\$515,426.00).

Project Sponsors & Designated Service Areas:

Project Sponsors for the Program Year were as follows:

ARcare is a private non-profit corporation designated by the Internal Revenue Service as a 501 (c) 3 organization. Its operation began July 1, 1986 after being awarded a grant from the U.S. Public Health service. Primary health services were provided through four clinic sites in 1986 expanding to 25 medical sites, 2 pharmacies and 2 wellness centers in 10 eastern Arkansas counties and 8 counties in western Kentucky. ARcare is co-located with community mental health centers and local health units to improve access and the continuum of care. As a federally funded community health center, ARcare provides services to all residents using a sliding fee scale based on Federal Poverty Level (FPL) guidelines.

ARcare has provided HIV services since 1996 and received its first Ryan White Care Act Part C and D grants in the fall of 2001, establishing a HIV-specific program known as the CARE Program, to provide HIV infected clients and affected family members with on-site comprehensive and coordinated primary and HIV medical care, dental care and laboratory services. In 2009, ARcare added the Ryan White Part B services to further provide clients with the most efficient and effective care possible. ARcare began providing HOPWA services in February 2010.

Additionally, with its Ryan White Part B program funding, ARcare clients are able to receive physician co-pay assistance, health insurance premium assistance, medication co-pay assistance, additional medical transportation assistance, food vouchers, HIV support groups, oral health services, and dietician services. ARcare's CARE Program Medical Director was one of the first medical doctors to received accreditation in American Academy of HIV Specialist in the state of Arkansas in 2012. Currently ARcare's Part B service covers all but 9 of the state's 75 counties.

ARcare provides HOPWA services in ADH HOPWA *Districts 2* (Marion, Baxter, Fulton, Searcy, Stone, Izard,

Sharp, Lawrence, Independence, Jackson, Van Buren, Cleburne, White, Woodruff, Lonoke, and Prairie Counties) and 3 (Randolph, Clay, Greene, Craighead, Mississippi, Poinsett, Cross, St. Francis, Lee, Monroe, and Phillip Counties). Services include Tenant Based Rental Assistance (TBRA), Short-term Rental, Mortgage, and Utility Assistance (STRMU), Permanent Housing Placement (PHP), and Support services. The contact person for HOPWA at ARcare is Kristen Bagwell, Program Coordinator. She can be reached at (870) 347-3449.

NARAN: Northeast Arkansas Regional AIDS Network (**NARAN**) was incorporated as a non-profit corporation in March of 1992. The agency was designated as a 501© (3) organization by the Internal Revenue Service in April 1993. NARAN has provided services to persons living with HIV since March of 1992 through the Ryan White program. The agency began providing HOPWA services for the past 12 years through a sub-grant agreement with the ADH.

Currently, NARAN provides HOPWA services in ADH HOPWA **Districts 1** (Benton, Boone, Carroll, Crawford, Franklin, Johnson, Logan, Madison, Newton, Pope, Sebastian, Scott, Washington, and Yell Counties), **4** (Calhoun, Clark, Columbia, Dallas, Garland, Hempstead, Hot Spring, Howard, Lafayette, Little River, Miller, Montgomery, Nevada, Ouachita, Pike, Polk, Sevier, and Union Counties), and **6** (Arkansas, Ashley, Bradley, Chicot, Cleveland, Desha, Drew, Jefferson, and Lincoln Counties). Services include Tenant Based Rental Assistance (TBRA), Short-term Rental, Mortgage, and Utility Assistance (STRMU), and Permanent Housing Placement (PHP), and Support services. The contact person for HOPWA at NARAN is Debbie Biazo, Executive Director. She can be reached at (870) 931-4448.

HOPWA and Ryan White Part B:

The HOPWA Case Manager is an essential link with Ryan White Programs by collaborating with the Part B Service Access Specialists (SAS) to enhance housing clients' medical outcomes by working as a team to ensure all clients are receiving proper medical and supportive services. The HOPWA Case Manager meets with clients to discuss housing plans, and monitors progress of the plan on a quarterly basis to maximize the program's objectives. The HOPWA Case Manager uses the housing plans as a core for clients' self-sustainability by providing goals that focus on employment, education, and/or need for disability.

Description of Services

HOPWA funds were used by the State for the following purposes:

a) Grant Administration:

- Administration for Grantee – three percent (3%).
Administration for Project Sponsors – seven percent (7%) allowable for administrative costs including costs for general management, oversight, coordination, evaluation, and reporting on eligible activities.

b) Direct Clients Services:

- Supportive Services – services that provide housing case management including quarterly site visits for all clients receiving subsidies, housing quality/housing standard inspections, documentation of client's housing plans, and compliance with housing and health care plans.
- Permanent Housing Placement Services (PHP)- services that will provide assistance to pay up to two months of rent; it can also allow for paying of one-time security and utility deposits on a limited basis. PHP assists in placing clients who are homeless or living in undesirable situations in safe, decent, and affordable rental units.
- Tenant-Based Rental Assistance (TBRA) – a housing subsidy that provides for use on the open rental market. The tenant holds a lease with a private landlord for a unit that is rented at or under the Fair Market Rent and that meets Housing Quality/Habitability Standards. The assistance must be used for any individual or family whose income does not exceed eighty percent (80%) of the median income for the area as determined by HUD.
- Short-Term Rent, Mortgage, and Utility Assistance (STRMU) – a housing subsidy that strives to prevent homelessness of mortgagor or renters in their current place of residence. Grantees may provide assistance for rent, mortgage, or utilities for a period of twenty-one (21) weeks in any fifty-two (52) week period.

Summary of major achievements and highlights: Major accomplishments during the 2013-2014 HOPWA grant year included the following:

Engagement with the clients in regards to their HIV care: During the grant year all (100%) of HOPWA clients had met with their Service Access Specialist; about 60% had some form of medical insurance coverage, and over 91% of clients had at least one documented visit with a health care provider.

Transitioning off Waiting List: The program successfully transitioned 42 clients from “waiting list” status. From a total of 62 clients with unmet needs during GY ‘12-’13 our waiting list moved down to 20 (15 TBRA and 5 STRMU) in GY ‘13-’14 by moving clients on to TBRA support, and linking many on-program clients up with other public housing assistance sources.

Permanent housing-transitioning clients off the HOPWA Program: Efforts at transitioning clients to a more permanent and stable housing environment continued and is actively ongoing. Education and employment remain key to self-sustainability. HOPWA encourages all clients to seek additional education or job training skills, as well as gainful employment. 56% of TBRA clients in HOPWA Districts 1, 4, and 6, and 43% of TBRA clients in HOPWA Districts 2 and 3 successfully transitioned off HOPWA with no additional assistance needed.

Collaboration: In late 2013 Arkansas Department of Health and ARcare, along with other community health centers that received Ryan White funds formulated a collaboration of members focused with improving not only the medical needs of our positive community but all aspects of life such as housing. This collaboration includes a consumer session/training to bring our customers of the Ryan White program to the table with medical providers, state and local leaders to improve the health outcomes of our HIV population.

b. Annual Performance under the Action Plan

Outputs Reported & Outcomes Assessed:

Grant funding to HOPWA project sponsors was based on the estimated HIV/AIDS population in the geographic regions in which they provided service, and this estimate was based on current-at-the-time (June 2012) HIV/AIDS epidemiological data by county. The total number of cases by county was compiled for each district. The greatest estimated proportion of HIV/AIDS population in need of HOPWA subsidy continued to be in Districts 1, 4, and 6.

HOPWA District	Counties	Estimated HIV/AIDS Pop.
District 1	Benton, Carroll, Boone, Washington, Madison, Newton, Crawford, Franklin, Johnson, Pope, Sebastian, Logan, Yell, Scott [14 COUNTIES]	1,113
District 2	Lonoke, Prairie, Woodruff, White, Jackson, Stone, Independence, Cleburne, Van Buren, Searcy, Marion, Baxter, Fulton, Izard, Sharp, Lawrence [16 COUNTIES]	334
District 3	Randolph, Clay, Greene, Craighead, Mississippi, Poinsett, Cross, Lee, St. Francis, Monroe, Phillips [11 COUNTIES]	786
District 4	Polk, Montgomery, Garland, Hot Springs, Howard, Sever, Pike, Clark, Dallas, Little River, Hempstead, Nevada, Ouachita, Calhoun, Miller, Lafayette, Columbia, Union [18 COUNTIES]	763
District 6	Jefferson, Arkansas, Cleveland, Lincoln, Desha, Drew, Bradley, Ashley, Chicot [9 COUNTIES]	522

Our action plan continues to emphasize sustainable, safe decent and affordable housing options aimed at meeting the needs of persons living with HIV/AIDS throughout the course of the disease. The state’s Annual Update to the

Consolidated Plan included direct housing assistance as well as supportive services necessary to keep people in their current residences.

Program Priorities: The Annual Update to the Consolidated Plan for the reporting program year (July 1, 2013 through June 30, 2014), established the following priorities for HOPWA:

Goal 1: Provide housing assistance and appropriate supportive services to enable low-income, HIV-positive individuals to remain in their homes and to reduce their risks of homelessness.

Objective 1: Provide tenant-based rental assistance (TBRA).

Outcome: Housing stability.

Outcome Statement: Establish and/or better maintain a stable living environment in housing that is safe, decent, affordable, and sanitary.

Performance Indicator: Provide funding to maintain assistance to ninety four (94) households utilizing tenant-based rental assistance (TBRA).

Actual Accomplishments: HOPWA provided housing assistance to eighty seven (87) households utilizing tenant-based rental assistance (TBRA). This was a decrease of nine (7) households over the estimated number in the annual plan.

Objective 2: Provide short-term rent, mortgage, and utility assistance (STRMU).

Outcome: Housing stability.

Outcome Statement: Establish and/or better maintain a stable living environment in housing that is safe, decent, affordable, and sanitary.

Performance Indicator: Provide funding to maintain or increase assistance to twenty eight (56) households accessing short-term rent, mortgage, and utility assistance (STRMU) for some portion of the permitted twenty-one (21) week period.

Actual Accomplishments: HOPWA provided assistance to eighty one (81) households accessing short-term rent, mortgage, and utility assistance (STRMU) for some portion of the permitted twenty-one (21) week period. This was an increase of twenty five (25) households from the estimated number in the annual plan.

Goal 2: Improve access to health care and other supportive services for HIV-positive individuals and their families.

Objective 1: Provide support in conjunction with HOPWA-funded housing assistance.

Outcome: Access to health care and support.

Outcome Statement: Improve access to health care and other supportive services.

Performance Indicator: To spend approximately \$200,000.00 to provide service coordination to assist clients with obtaining housing, to coordinate a long-term plan to allow clients to remain in housing, and to coordinate complimentary supportive services.

Actual Accomplishments: HOPWA expended \$130,975.00 to provide service coordination to assist two hundred twenty nine (226) clients with obtaining housing, to coordinate a long-term plan to allow clients to remain in housing, and to coordinate complimentary supportive services. This is a decrease of \$69,025.00 from the estimated \$200,000 in the annual plan. Additionally, forty four (44) clients benefitted from permanent housing placement services at a cost of

\$35,222.80. Like supportive services, the Consolidated Plan and subsequent Annual Action Plan did not reflect a goal in terms of number of clients. The need and necessity for this service however has arisen over the life of the Consolidated Plan, necessitating the allocation of some funds to address it.

Public awareness media campaign in collaboration with ARcare is on-going, and incorporating housing status screening as part of Ryan White Part B eligibility screening is leading to higher number of HOPWA-eligible clients requesting enrollment into the program.

Coordination:

All HOPWA clients are referred to Section 8 housing. Completing a Section 8 Housing Application is a commitment required of our clients when signing onto the Program for TBRA services. Case managers provide housing counseling to all HOPWA clients. Referrals to public housing programs are given as needed. There's ongoing collaboration between the HIV Services Program and the HOPWA Program as well; housing coordinators work in conjunction with Ryan White Program Part B service access specialists and medical case managers to ensure that clients were actively seeking medical and appropriate social services related to their HIV diagnosis. Project sponsors coordinate with local public housing authorities in order to be positioned for slots that allow for transitioning clients off HOPWA onto a more permanent and stable situation when slots become available. The Arkansas Department of Health will continue to work with its HOPWA project sponsors and Ryan White Part B Program service providers to ensure that HOPWA clients receive the appropriate housing, support, and healthcare services appropriate to their needs.

Technical Assistance:

The ADH continues to provide guidance on policy and procedural issues to project sponsors on a regular basis via phone calls, site visits, and working committees. The Arkansas Department of Health also regularly requests clarity and guidance from our local HUD Field Office and via OneCPD on a wide range of issues. There is still on-going need for in-depth training for our Program Coordinators, project sponsor housing case managers, and Ryan White Part B Service Access Specialists in order to enhance efficiency in delivering HOPWA services to the community.

c. Barriers and Trends Overview

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> HOPWA/HUD Regulations	<input type="checkbox"/> Planning	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Housing Availability	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Rent Determination and Fair Market Rents
<input type="checkbox"/> Discrimination/Confidentiality	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Multiple Diagnoses	<input type="checkbox"/> Eligibility	<input type="checkbox"/> Technical Assistance or Training
<input type="checkbox"/> Supportive Services	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Credit History	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Rental History	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Criminal Justice History
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Housing Affordability	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Geography/Rural Access	<input type="checkbox"/> Other, please explain further	

(1) Description of barriers (regulatory and non-regulatory):

Grantee:

HOPWA/HUD Regulations: The grantee continues to press the case that three percent (3%) allowable cost for administering HOPWA is an ongoing issue. The allowable percentage is insufficient to support the administrative needs of the project. The ADH was allowed \$15,462.78 for administrative costs, which was insufficient to fund a full-time position in the STI/HIV/Hepatitis C/TB Section. As budgetary allocations from HUD gets cut over successive program years the allowable administrative cost for running the program is also continues to decrease.

Project Sponsor & Client:

Waiting List:

HIV is a chronic disease, and clients are living longer thanks to improved management interventions. This also means that clients are requiring assistance for longer periods of time. The Program has a wait list in place as clients in need especially of TBRA services continues to grow in the face of few available affordable housing units. At

every given opportunity, clients are transitioned off the waiting list onto TBRA on a *first-come-first-serve basis*. The Program will continue to strive to manage the waiting list as effectively and efficiently as possible to move people onto the Program, and also transition clients onto alternative, more stable and permanent housing arrangements as the overall housing market and affordable housing units become available.

Rent Determination and Fair Market Rents: In urban areas, most of the housing units that are within the FMR are in unsafe neighborhoods or are far from public transportation. Secondly, there are some sections of the state where the program continues to encounter problems finding rental units that fall within the FMR. Most critical involves FMR amounts for one bedroom units. While the *Community-wide Exception Payment Standard* option may increase the subsidy payment amounts by \$20 to \$40 a month on some 1-bedroom units, it would allow the program to adequately house specific clients who are not living in suitable conditions. The ability to use *Community-wide Exception Payment Standard* options on a need-wise basis by grantees and project sponsors would greatly assist HOPWA project sponsors in placing clients in safe environments by shortening response time. The Grantee was just granted permission By HUD to exercise *Community-wide Exception Payment* standard on a case-wise basis, determined by need, which will come into effect during Grant Year “14-’15. Hopefully this will address some of the need in major population centers.

Housing Affordability, Availability/ Geography/Rural Access: Units that are safe, decent and affordable at Fair Market rent levels are in short supply statewide. There are few low-income properties that are available to rent. Many landlords and rental agencies are unwilling to accept Section 8 or any other housing subsidy including HOPWA. Many factors underlie this sentiment, ranging from bad experiences with tenants and housing authorities, to poor employment histories of clients. The number one reason given has been that the FMRs are set too low, and they’re unwilling to rent their units for such low prices. Shortage of decent, safe, and affordable housing is more prevalent in the Delta Counties. Additionally, utility costs continue to increase statewide, which leaves little money for rent. The state is in the process of preparing a housing trust fund to help address the need for affordable housing in Arkansas.

Multiple Diagnoses: Many clients have multiple diagnoses which create and require additional and/or multiple services that may be outside the normal HOPWA channels. Project Sponsors will continue to partner with other Social Services Agencies to assist the client with their needs. Dual diagnosis proves to be a barrier to accessing stable housing, especially comorbidities involving substance abuse and mental illness. Substance abuse and non-compliance with medication for paranoia, schizophrenia, and bi-polar disorder have a devastating impact on housing stability. Clients who are not compliant with their psychotropic medication have had issues with landlords and other tenants, some of which have ended in evictions.

Credit History: Available decent, safe, and affordable housing stock has decreased due to strict rental application requirements by landlords. Project Sponsors will continue to build relationships with landlords that are willing to assist our clientele and closely monitor the situation to address needs as they arise.

Rental History: Many of our clients do not have a credit rating or their rating is less than desirable. Several have lived in several apartments during the past few years, and have multiple eviction histories. This leads to problems with clients finding landlords who will rent to them.

Criminal Justice History: Available decent, safe, and affordable housing stock has decreased due to strict rental application requirements by landlords. Many clients due to behavioral issues fail background check on their lease applications. Project Sponsors will continue to build relationships with landlords that are willing to assist our clientele and closely monitor the situation to address needs as they arise.

2. Trends:

Due to ongoing (though usually subtle) stigma issues around the subject or perception of HIV in some quarters of the population some potential clients continue to express reservation about signing up for HOPWA services for fear that their status may be disclosed as a result of receiving such assistance.

Stand out issues include:

- An increase in behavioral health issues (paranoia, schizophrenia, substance abuse, self-medication, for example) leading to criminal behaviors, arrests and imprisonment.
- Increase in the number of potential clients with learning/developmental disabilities: More clients lack the skills of reading and writing, hence comprehension and following instructions are problem areas.

The state of Arkansas HIV prevention has a statewide community initiative, the Consumer Advisory Board that provides coordination between the Arkansas Department of Health, Ryan White Program Part B subgrantees, and persons living with HIV/AIDS (PLWHA) to provide feedback, suggestions, and a platform for consumers of Ryan White as well as HOPWA services to add their voice to programmatic issues. Additionally, support groups for PLWHA are available within HOPWA service areas.

3. Evaluation or other assessments of the HOPWA Program:

i) The Annual Update to the Consolidated Plan final document and the processes leading up to the development of the plan itself are fully available and open to the public, and incorporates public input across the state. The CAPER is also available to the public online and consumers may request copies of the document if needed. The Arkansas HIV annual report and the *SHARP* (State Healthcare Access Research Project) Arkansas state report are all publicly available to the general public. HOPWA continues to make significant strides in achieving the goals and objectives as outlined in the Annual Update to the Consolidated Plan. ADH and our Project Sponsors will continue to improve service delivery and reporting as we strive to overcome impediments in implementing the Consolidated Plan.

ii) Leveraging: It is important to note that for this program neither of the Grantee’s project sponsors recorded any leveraging activities [see *Part 2, Table A*]. ARcare is our principle Project sponsor that usually harnesses sources of leveraging expending funds from sources outside of HOPWA to provide gas cards, food vouchers, and healthcare co-pay assistance to clients. For example, last program year (i.e. FY ‘12-’13,) \$10,655.86 was leveraged to provide co-pay assistance, food vouchers, and gas cards. However this was not the case for the reporting year as alternative funding that supported this activity was constrained. This is not expected to be a “new normal” as prospects for the coming program year seem promising as far as alternate sources to support leveraging are concerned.

iii) Low TBRA CPU:

1. Low number of multi-person family units: over the previous three program years housing costs for units with 2-bedrooms or more has continued to increase as cost of utilities (a major driver of housing cost) keeps going up. This has meant that the Program has found it difficult to service clients with households of 4 or more persons. ADH HOPWA policy requires a 2-bedroom unit for appropriately accommodating a 2- person household at minimum or 4 persons maximum. Many of these units exceed FMR by the time utilities are factored into overall housing costs. Hence, the Program has been better able to cater to clients whose space needs meet unit standards that also fall within acceptable FMR compliance. Those clients with larger floor space needs are placed on waiting list while the Project Sponsors work with local public housing agencies for placements as units become available. Therefore the majority of TBRA clients we cater to are in studio units and 1-bedroom units, which contributed to lower expenditure on direct TBRA housing subsidy for the reporting year.

2. Case management for TBRA: another explanation for low TBRA CPU for the reporting year is that service coordination costs for TBRA are recorded as part of overall case management/support services.

iv) Reported Categories of Services Accessed below 80%:

At the close of the program year it was identified that Project sponsors had need for training of new staff especially in the areas of working along with client’s medical case managers as well as in developing and keeping up client’s housing plans. It was identified that a lack of liaison with medical case managers and

incomplete housing plans for some clients led to lower numbers of clients being recorded or reported in Part 4, Section 3, Table 1b. The necessary assistance has been put in place to correct this issue.

d. Unmet Housing Needs: An Assessment of Unmet Housing Needs

In Chart 1, provide an assessment of the number of HOPWA-eligible households that require HOPWA housing subsidy assistance but are not currently served by any HOPWA-funded housing subsidy assistance in this service area.

1. Planning Estimate of Area's Unmet Needs for HOPWA-Eligible Households

1. Total number of households that have unmet housing subsidy assistance need.	20
2. From the total reported in Row 1, identify the number of households with unmet housing needs by type of housing subsidy assistance:	
a. Tenant-Based Rental Assistance (TBRA)	15
b. Short-Term Rent, Mortgage and Utility payments (STRMU)	5
• Assistance with rental costs	3
• Assistance with mortgage payments	2
• Assistance with utility costs.	0
c. Housing Facilities, such as community residences, SRO dwellings, other housing facilities	n/a

2. Recommended Data Sources for Assessing Unmet Need (check all sources used)

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> = Data as reported in the area Consolidated Plan, e.g. Table 1B, CPMP charts, and related narratives
<input type="checkbox"/> = Data established by area HIV/AIDS housing planning and coordination efforts, e.g. Continuum of Care
<input type="checkbox"/> = Data from client information provided in Homeless Management Information Systems (HMIS)
<input type="checkbox"/> = Data from project sponsors or housing providers, including waiting lists for assistance or other assessments on need including those completed by HOPWA competitive grantees operating in the region.
<input type="checkbox"/> = Data from prisons or jails on persons being discharged with HIV/AIDS, if mandatory testing is conducted
<input type="checkbox"/> = Data from local Ryan White Planning Councils or reported in CARE Act Data Reports, e.g. number of clients with permanent housing
<input type="checkbox"/> = Data collected for HIV/AIDS surveillance reporting or other health assessments, e.g. local health department or CDC surveillance data

End of PART 1

PART 2: Sources of Leveraging and Program Income

1. Sources of Leveraging

Report the source(s) of cash or in-kind leveraged federal, state, local or private resources identified in the Consolidated or Annual Plan and used in the delivery of the HOPWA program and the amount of leveraged dollars. In Column [1], identify the type of leveraging. Some common sources of leveraged funds have been provided as a reference point. You may add Rows as necessary to report all sources of leveraged funds. Include Resident Rent payments paid by clients directly to private landlords. Do NOT include rents paid directly to a HOPWA program as this will be reported in the next section. In Column [2] report the amount of leveraged funds expended during the operating year. Use Column [3] to provide some detail about the type of leveraged contribution (e.g., case management services or clothing donations). In Column [4], check the appropriate box to indicate whether the leveraged contribution was a housing subsidy assistance or another form of support.

Note: Be sure to report on the number of households supported with these leveraged funds in Part 3, Chart 1, Column d.

A. Source of Leveraging Chart

[1] Source of Leveraging	[2] Amount of Leveraged Funds	[3] Type of Contribution	[4] Housing Subsidy Assistance or Other Support
Public Funding			
Ryan White-Housing Assistance			<input type="checkbox"/> Housing Subsidy Assistance <input type="checkbox"/> Other Support
Ryan White-Other			<input type="checkbox"/> Housing Subsidy Assistance <input type="checkbox"/> Other Support
Housing Choice Voucher Program			<input type="checkbox"/> Housing Subsidy Assistance <input type="checkbox"/> Other Support
Low Income Housing Tax Credit			<input type="checkbox"/> Housing Subsidy Assistance <input type="checkbox"/> Other Support
HOME			<input type="checkbox"/> Housing Subsidy Assistance <input type="checkbox"/> Other Support
Shelter Plus Care			<input type="checkbox"/> Housing Subsidy Assistance <input type="checkbox"/> Other Support
Emergency Solutions Grant			<input type="checkbox"/> Housing Subsidy Assistance <input type="checkbox"/> Other Support
Other Public:			<input type="checkbox"/> Housing Subsidy Assistance <input type="checkbox"/> Other Support
Other Public:			<input type="checkbox"/> Housing Subsidy Assistance <input type="checkbox"/> Other Support
Other Public:			<input type="checkbox"/> Housing Subsidy Assistance <input type="checkbox"/> Other Support
Other Public:			<input type="checkbox"/> Housing Subsidy Assistance <input type="checkbox"/> Other Support
Other Public:			<input type="checkbox"/> Housing Subsidy Assistance <input type="checkbox"/> Other Support
Private Funding			
Grants			<input type="checkbox"/> Housing Subsidy Assistance <input type="checkbox"/> Other Support
In-kind Resources			<input type="checkbox"/> Housing Subsidy Assistance <input type="checkbox"/> Other Support
Other Private:			<input type="checkbox"/> Housing Subsidy Assistance <input type="checkbox"/> Other Support
Other Private:			<input type="checkbox"/> Housing Subsidy Assistance <input type="checkbox"/> Other Support
Other Funding			
Grantee/Project Sponsor/Subrecipient (Agency) Cash			<input type="checkbox"/> Housing Subsidy Assistance <input type="checkbox"/> Other Support
Resident Rent Payments by Client to Private Landlord			
TOTAL (Sum of all Rows)	N/A		

2. Program Income and Resident Rent Payments

In Section 2, Chart A., report the total amount of program income and resident rent payments directly generated from the use of HOPWA funds, including repayments. Include resident rent payments collected or paid directly to the HOPWA program. Do NOT include payments made directly from a client household to a private landlord.

Note: Please see report directions section for definition of program income. (Additional information on program income is available in the HOPWA Grantee Oversight Resource Guide).

A. Total Amount Program Income and Resident Rent Payment Collected During the Operating Year

Program Income and Resident Rent Payments Collected		Total Amount of Program Income (for this operating year)
1.	Program income (e.g. repayments)	N/A
2.	Resident Rent Payments made directly to HOPWA Program	N/A
3.	Total Program Income and Resident Rent Payments (Sum of Rows 1 and 2)	N/A

B. Program Income and Resident Rent Payments Expended To Assist HOPWA Households

In Chart B, report on the total program income and resident rent payments (as reported above in Chart A) expended during the operating year. Use Row 1 to report Program Income and Resident Rent Payments expended on Housing Subsidy Assistance Programs (i.e., TBRA, STRMU, PHP, Master Leased Units, and Facility-Based Housing). Use Row 2 to report on the Program Income and Resident Rent Payment expended on Supportive Services and other non-direct Housing Costs.

Program Income and Resident Rent Payment Expended on HOPWA programs		Total Amount of Program Income Expended (for this operating year)
1.	Program Income and Resident Rent Payment Expended on Housing Subsidy Assistance costs	N/A
2.	Program Income and Resident Rent Payment Expended on Supportive Services and other non-direct housing costs	N/A
3.	Total Program Income Expended (Sum of Rows 1 and 2)	N/A

End of PART 2

PART 3: Accomplishment Data Planned Goal and Actual Outputs

In Chart 1, enter performance information (goals and actual outputs) for all activities undertaken during the operating year supported with HOPWA funds. Performance is measured by the number of households and units of housing that were supported with HOPWA or other federal, state, local, or private funds for the purposes of providing housing assistance and support to persons living with HIV/AIDS and their families.

Note: The total households assisted with HOPWA funds and reported in PART 3 of the CAPER should be the same as reported in the annual year-end IDIS data, and goals reported should be consistent with the Annual Plan information. Any discrepancies or deviations should be explained in the narrative section of PART 1.

1. HOPWA Performance Planned Goal and Actual Outputs

HOPWA Performance Planned Goal and Actual		[1] Output: Households				[2] Output: Funding	
		HOPWA Assistance		Leveraged Households		HOPWA Funds	
		a.	b.	c.	d.	e.	f.
		Goal	Actual	Goal	Actual	HOPWA Budget	HOPWA Actual
HOPWA Housing Subsidy Assistance		[1] Output: Households				[2] Output: Funding	
1.	Tenant-Based Rental Assistance	97	87			\$243,510.00	\$211,301.00
2a.	Permanent Housing Facilities: Received Operating Subsidies/Leased units (Households Served)						
2b.	Transitional/Short-term Facilities: Received Operating Subsidies/Leased units (Households Served) (Households Served)						
3a.	Permanent Housing Facilities: Capital Development Projects placed in service during the operating year (Households Served)						
3b.	Transitional/Short-term Facilities: Capital Development Projects placed in service during the operating year (Households Served)						
4.	Short-Term Rent, Mortgage and Utility Assistance	80	81			\$70,000.00	\$85,941.43
5.	Permanent Housing Placement Services	35	44			\$20,000.00	\$35,222.80
6.	Adjustments for duplication (subtract)	45	51				
7.	Total HOPWA Housing Subsidy Assistance (Columns a. – d. equal the sum of Rows 1-5 minus Row 6; Columns e. and f. equal the sum of Rows 1-5)	167	161			\$333,510.00	\$332,465.23
Housing Development (Construction and Stewardship of facility based housing)		[1] Output: Housing Units				[2] Output: Funding	
8.	Facility-based units; Capital Development Projects not yet opened (Housing Units)						
9.	Stewardship Units subject to 3 or 10 year use agreements						
10.	Total Housing Developed (Sum of Rows 78 & 9)	-	-			-	-
Supportive Services		[1] Output Households				[2] Output: Funding	
11a.	Supportive Services provided by project sponsors/subrecipient that also delivered HOPWA housing subsidy assistance	227	226			\$126,373.00	\$130,975.00
11b.	Supportive Services provided by project sponsors/subrecipient that only provided supportive services.						
12.	Adjustment for duplication (subtract)	-	-				
13.	Total Supportive Services (Columns a. – d. equal the sum of Rows 11 a. & b. minus Row 12; Columns e. and f. equal the sum of Rows 11a. & 11b.)	227	226			\$126,373.00	\$130,975.00
Housing Information Services		[1] Output Households				[2] Output: Funding	
14.	Housing Information Services						
15.	Total Housing Information Services	-	-			-	-

Grant Administration and Other Activities		[1] Output Households		[2] Output: Funding	
16.	Resource Identification to establish, coordinate and develop housing assistance resources				
17.	Technical Assistance (if approved in grant agreement)				
18.	Grantee Administration (maximum 3% of total HOPWA grant)			\$15,462.78	\$15,462.78
19.	Project Sponsor Administration (maximum 7% of portion of HOPWA grant awarded)			\$36,890.00	\$35,174.73
20.	Total Grant Administration and Other Activities (Sum of Rows 17 – 20)			\$52,352.78	\$50,637.51
Total Expended				[2] Outputs: HOPWA Funds Expended	
				Budget	Actual
21.	Total Expenditures for program year (Sum of Rows 7, 10, 13, 15, and 20)			\$512,235.78	\$514,077.74

2. Listing of Supportive Services

Report on the households served and use of HOPWA funds for all supportive services. Do NOT report on supportive services leveraged with non-HOPWA funds.

Data check: Total unduplicated households and expenditures reported in Row 17 equal totals reported in Part 3, Chart 1, Row 13.

Supportive Services		[1] Output: Number of <u>Households</u>	[2] Output: Amount of HOPWA Funds Expended
1.	Adult day care and personal assistance		
2.	Alcohol and drug abuse services		
3.	Case management	226	\$130,975.00
4.	Child care and other child services		
5.	Education		
6.	Employment assistance and training		
7.	Health/medical/intensive care services, if approved Note: Client records must conform with 24 CFR §574.310		
8.	Legal services		
9.	Life skills management (outside of case management)		
10.	Meals/nutritional services		
11.	Mental health services		
12.	Outreach		
13.	Transportation		
14.	Other Activity (if approved in grant agreement). Specify:		
15.	Sub-Total Households receiving Supportive Services (Sum of Rows 1-14)	226	
16.	Adjustment for Duplication (subtract)	0	
17.	TOTAL Unduplicated Households receiving Supportive Services (Column [1] equals Row 15 minus Row 16; Column [2] equals sum of Rows 1-14)	226	\$130,975.00

3. Short-Term Rent, Mortgage and Utility Assistance (STRMU) Summary

In Row a., enter the total number of households served and the amount of HOPWA funds expended on Short-Term Rent, Mortgage and Utility (STRMU) Assistance. In Row b., enter the total number of STRMU-assisted households that received assistance with mortgage costs only (no utility costs) and the amount expended assisting these households. In Row c., enter the total number of STRMU-assisted households that received assistance with both mortgage and utility costs and the amount expended assisting these households. In Row d., enter the total number of STRMU-assisted households that received assistance with rental costs only (no utility costs) and the amount expended assisting these households. In Row e., enter the total number of STRMU-assisted households that received assistance with both rental and utility costs and the amount expended assisting these households. In Row f., enter the total number of STRMU-assisted households that received assistance with utility costs only (not including rent or mortgage costs) and the amount expended assisting these households. In row g., report the amount of STRMU funds expended to support direct program costs such as program operation staff.

Data Check: The total households reported as served with STRMU in Row a., column [1] and the total amount of HOPWA funds reported as expended in Row a., column [2] equals the household and expenditure total reported for STRMU in Part 3, Chart 1, Row 4, Columns b. and f., respectively.

Data Check: The total number of households reported in Column [1], Rows b., c., d., e., and f. equal the total number of STRMU households reported in Column [1], Row a. The total amount reported as expended in Column [2], Rows b., c., d., e., f., and g. equal the total amount of STRMU expenditures reported in Column [2], Row a.

Housing Subsidy Assistance Categories (STRMU)		[1] Output: Number of <u>Households</u> Served	[2] Output: Total HOPWA Funds Expended on STRMU during Operating Year
a.	Total Short-term mortgage, rent and/or utility (STRMU) assistance	81	\$85,941.43
b.	Of the total STRMU reported on Row a, total who received assistance with mortgage costs ONLY.	6	\$8,810.00
c.	Of the total STRMU reported on Row a, total who received assistance with mortgage and utility costs.	3	\$3,371.00
d.	Of the total STRMU reported on Row a, total who received assistance with rental costs ONLY.	27	\$28,100.00
e.	Of the total STRMU reported on Row a, total who received assistance with rental and utility costs.	25	\$36,102.30
f.	Of the total STRMU reported on Row a, total who received assistance with utility costs ONLY.	20	\$9,558.13
g.	Direct program delivery costs (e.g., program operations staff time)		-0-

End of PART 3

Part 4: Summary of Performance Outcomes

In Column [1], report the total number of eligible households that received HOPWA housing subsidy assistance, by type.

In Column [2], enter the number of households that continued to access each type of housing subsidy assistance into next operating year. In

Column [3], report the housing status of all households that exited the program.

Data Check: The sum of Columns [2] (Number of Households Continuing) and [3] (Exited Households) equals the total reported in Column [1].

Section 1. Housing Stability: Assessment of Client Outcomes on Maintaining Housing Stability (Permanent Housing and Related Facilities)

A. Permanent Housing Subsidy Assistance

	[1] Output: Total Number of Households Served	[2] Assessment: Number of Households that Continued Receiving HOPWA Housing Subsidy Assistance into the Next Operating Year	[3] Assessment: Number of Households that exited this HOPWA Program; their Housing Status after Exiting		[4] HOPWA Client Outcomes
Tenant-Based Rental Assistance	87	51	1 Emergency Shelter/Streets	-	Unstable Arrangements
			2 Temporary Housing	-	Temporarily Stable, with Reduced Risk of Homelessness
			3 Private Housing	11	Stable/Permanent Housing (PH)
			4 Other HOPWA	1	
			5 Other Subsidy	15	
			6 Institution	1	
			7 Jail/Prison	1	Unstable Arrangements
			8 Disconnected/Unknown	4	
			9 Death	3	Life Event
Permanent Supportive Housing Facilities/ Units			1 Emergency Shelter/Streets	-	Unstable Arrangements
			2 Temporary Housing	-	Temporarily Stable, with Reduced Risk of Homelessness
			3 Private Housing	-	Stable/Permanent Housing (PH)
			4 Other HOPWA	-	
			5 Other Subsidy	-	
			6 Institution	-	
			7 Jail/Prison	-	Unstable Arrangements
			8 Disconnected/Unknown	-	
			9 Death	-	Life Event

B. Transitional Housing Assistance

	[1] Output: Total Number of Households Served	[2] Assessment: Number of Households that Continued Receiving HOPWA Housing Subsidy Assistance into the Next Operating Year	[3] Assessment: Number of Households that exited this HOPWA Program; their Housing Status after Exiting		[4] HOPWA Client Outcomes
Transitional/ Short-Term Housing Facilities/ Units			1 Emergency Shelter/Streets	-	Unstable Arrangements
			2 Temporary Housing	-	Temporarily Stable with Reduced Risk of Homelessness
			3 Private Housing	-	Stable/Permanent Housing (PH)
			4 Other HOPWA	-	
			5 Other Subsidy	-	
			6 Institution	-	
			7 Jail/Prison	-	Unstable Arrangements
			8 Disconnected/unknown	-	
			9 Death	-	Life Event

B1: Total number of households receiving transitional/short-term housing assistance whose tenure exceeded 24 months	
---	--

Section 2. Prevention of Homelessness: Assessment of Client Outcomes on Reduced Risks of Homelessness (Short-Term Housing Subsidy Assistance)

Report the total number of households that received STRMU assistance in Column [1].

In Column [2], identify the outcomes of the households reported in Column [1] either at the time that they were known to have left the STRMU program or through the project sponsor or subrecipient’s best assessment for stability at the end of the operating year.

Information in Column [3] provides a description of housing outcomes; therefore, data is not required.

At the bottom of the chart:

- In Row 1a., report those households that received STRMU assistance during the operating year of this report, and the prior operating year.
- In Row 1b., report those households that received STRMU assistance during the operating year of this report, and the two prior operating years.

Data Check: The total households reported as served with STRMU in Column [1] equals the total reported in Part 3, Chart 1, Row 4, Column b.

Data Check: The sum of Column [2] should equal the number of households reported in Column [1].

Assessment of Households that Received STRMU Assistance

[1] Output: Total number of households	[2] Assessment of Housing Status		[3] HOPWA Client Outcomes
81	Maintain Private Housing without subsidy <i>(e.g. Assistance provided/completed and client is stable, not likely to seek additional support)</i>	31	<i>Stable/Permanent Housing (PH)</i>
	Other Private Housing without subsidy <i>(e.g. client switched housing units and is now stable, not likely to seek additional support)</i>	2	
	Other HOPWA Housing Subsidy Assistance	24	
	Other Housing Subsidy (PH)	6	
	Institution <i>(e.g. residential and long-term care)</i>	1	
	Likely that additional STRMU is needed to maintain current housing arrangements	16	<i>Temporarily Stable, with Reduced Risk of Homelessness</i>
	Transitional Facilities/Short-term <i>(e.g. temporary or transitional arrangement)</i>	-	
	Temporary/Non-Permanent Housing arrangement <i>(e.g. gave up lease, and moved in with family or friends but expects to live there less than 90 days)</i>	-	
	Emergency Shelter/street	-	<i>Unstable Arrangements</i>
	Jail/Prison	-	
	Disconnected	-	
Death	1	<i>Life Event</i>	
1a. Total number of those households that received STRMU Assistance in the operating year of this report that also received STRMU assistance in the prior operating year (e.g. households that received STRMU assistance in two consecutive operating years).			25
1b. Total number of those households that received STRMU Assistance in the operating year of this report that also received STRMU assistance in the two prior operating years (e.g. households that received STRMU assistance in three consecutive operating years).			6

Section 3. HOPWA Outcomes on Access to Care and Support

1a. Total Number of Households

Line [1]: For project sponsors/subrecipients that provided HOPWA housing subsidy assistance during the operating year identify in the appropriate row the number of households that received HOPWA housing subsidy assistance (TBRA, STRMU, Facility-Based, PHP and Master Leasing) and HOPWA funded case management services. Use Row c. to adjust for duplication among the service categories and Row d. to provide an unduplicated household total.

Line [2]: For project sponsors/subrecipients that did NOT provide HOPWA housing subsidy assistance identify in the appropriate row the number of households that received HOPWA funded case management services.

Note: These numbers will help you to determine which clients to report Access to Care and Support Outcomes for and will be used by HUD as a basis for analyzing the percentage of households who demonstrated or maintained connections to care and support as identified in Chart 1b. below.

Total Number of Households	
1. For Project Sponsors/Subrecipients that provided HOPWA Housing Subsidy Assistance: Identify the total number of households that received the following HOPWA-funded services:	
a. Housing Subsidy Assistance (duplicated)-TBRA, STRMU, PHP, Facility-Based Housing, and Master Leasing	212
b. Case Management	226
c. Adjustment for duplication (subtraction)	211
d. Total Households Served by Project Sponsors/Subrecipients with Housing Subsidy Assistance (Sum of Rows a.b. minus Row c.)	227
2. For Project Sponsors/Subrecipients did NOT provide HOPWA Housing Subsidy Assistance: Identify the total number of households that received the following HOPWA-funded service:	
a. HOPWA Case Management	
b. Total Households Served by Project Sponsors/Subrecipients without Housing Subsidy Assistance	

1b. Status of Households Accessing Care and Support

Column [1]: Of the households identified as receiving services from project sponsors/subrecipients that provided HOPWA housing subsidy assistance as identified in Chart 1a., Row 1d. above, report the number of households that demonstrated access or maintained connections to care and support within the program year.

Column [2]: Of the households identified as receiving services from project sponsors/subrecipients that did NOT provide HOPWA housing subsidy assistance as reported in Chart 1a., Row 2b., report the number of households that demonstrated improved access or maintained connections to care and support within the program year.

Note: For information on types and sources of income and medical insurance/assistance, refer to Charts below.

Categories of Services Accessed	[1] For project sponsors/subrecipients that provided HOPWA housing subsidy assistance, identify the households who demonstrated the following:	[2] For project sponsors/subrecipients that did NOT provide HOPWA housing subsidy assistance, identify the households who demonstrated the following:	Outcome Indicator
1. Has a housing plan for maintaining or establishing stable on-going housing	161	-	<i>Support for Stable Housing</i>
2. Had contact with case manager/benefits counselor consistent with the schedule specified in client's individual service plan (may include leveraged services such as Ryan White Medical Case Management)	160	-	<i>Access to Support</i>
3. Had contact with a primary health care provider consistent with the schedule specified in client's individual service plan	160	-	<i>Access to Health Care</i>
4. Accessed and maintained medical insurance/assistance	161	-	<i>Access to Health Care</i>
5. Successfully accessed or maintained qualification for sources of income	160	-	<i>Sources of Income</i>

Chart 1b., Line 4: Sources of Medical Insurance and Assistance include, but are not limited to the following (Reference only)

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • MEDICAID Health Insurance Program, or use local program name • MEDICARE Health Insurance Program, or use local program name 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Veterans Affairs Medical Services • AIDS Drug Assistance Program (ADAP) • State Children’s Health Insurance Program (SCHIP), or use local program name 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ryan White-funded Medical or Dental Assistance
--	--	--

Chart 1b., Row 5: Sources of Income include, but are not limited to the following (Reference only)

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Earned Income • Veteran’s Pension • Unemployment Insurance • Pension from Former Job • Supplemental Security Income (SSI) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Child Support • Social Security Disability Income (SSDI) • Alimony or other Spousal Support • Veteran’s Disability Payment • Retirement Income from Social Security • Worker’s Compensation 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • General Assistance (GA), or use local program name • Private Disability Insurance • Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF) • Other Income Sources
---	--	--

1c. Households that Obtained Employment

Column [1]: Of the households identified as receiving services from project sponsors/subrecipients that provided HOPWA housing subsidy assistance as identified in Chart 1a., Row 1d. above, report on the number of households that include persons who obtained an income-producing job during the operating year that resulted from HOPWA-funded Job training, employment assistance, education or related case management/counseling services.

Column [2]: Of the households identified as receiving services from project sponsors/subrecipients that did NOT provide HOPWA housing subsidy assistance as reported in Chart 1a., Row 2b., report on the number of households that include persons who obtained an income-producing job during the operating year that resulted from HOPWA-funded Job training, employment assistance, education or case management/counseling services.

Note: This includes jobs created by this project sponsor/subrecipients or obtained outside this agency.

Note: Do not include jobs that resulted from leveraged job training, employment assistance, education or case management/counseling services.

Categories of Services Accessed	[1] For project sponsors/subrecipients that provided HOPWA housing subsidy assistance, identify the households who demonstrated the following:	[2] For project sponsors/subrecipients that did NOT provide HOPWA housing subsidy assistance, identify the households who demonstrated the following:
Total number of households that obtained an income-producing job	8	n/a

End of PART 4

PART 5: Worksheet - Determining Housing Stability Outcomes (optional)

1. This chart is designed to assess program results based on the information reported in Part 4 and to help Grantees determine overall program performance. Completion of this worksheet is optional.

Permanent Housing Subsidy Assistance	Stable Housing (# of households remaining in program plus 3+4+5+6)	Temporary Housing (2)	Unstable Arrangements (1+7+8)	Life Event (9)
Tenant-Based Rental Assistance (TBRA)	80	0	4	3
Permanent Facility-based Housing Assistance/Units	0	0	0	0
Transitional/Short-Term Facility-based Housing Assistance/Units	0	0	0	0
Total Permanent HOPWA Housing Subsidy Assistance	80	0	4	3
Reduced Risk of Homelessness: Short-Term Assistance				
Reduced Risk of Homelessness: Short-Term Assistance	Stable/Permanent Housing	Temporarily Stable, with Reduced Risk of Homelessness	Unstable Arrangements	Life Events
Short-Term Rent, Mortgage, and Utility Assistance (STRMU)	64	16	0	1
Total HOPWA Housing Subsidy Assistance	64	16	0	1

Background on HOPWA Housing Stability Codes

Stable Permanent Housing/Ongoing Participation

- 3 = Private Housing in the private rental or home ownership market (without known subsidy, including permanent placement with families or other self-sufficient arrangements) with reasonable expectation that additional support is not needed.
- 4 = Other HOPWA-funded housing subsidy assistance (not STRMU), e.g. TBRA or Facility-Based Assistance.
- 5 = Other subsidized house or apartment (non-HOPWA sources, e.g., Section 8, HOME, public housing).
- 6 = Institutional setting with greater support and continued residence expected (e.g., residential or long-term care facility).

Temporary Housing

2 = Temporary housing - moved in with family/friends or other short-term arrangement, such as Ryan White subsidy, transitional housing for homeless, or temporary placement in institution (e.g., hospital, psychiatric hospital or other psychiatric facility, substance abuse treatment facility or detox center).

Unstable Arrangements

- 1 = Emergency shelter or no housing destination such as places not meant for habitation (e.g., a vehicle, an abandoned building, bus/train/subway station, or anywhere outside).
- 7 = Jail /prison.
- 8 = Disconnected or disappeared from project support, unknown destination or no assessments of housing needs were undertaken.

Life Event

9 = Death, i.e., remained in housing until death. This characteristic is not factored into the housing stability equation.

Tenant-based Rental Assistance: Stable Housing is the sum of the number of households that (i) remain in the housing and (ii) those that left the assistance as reported under: 3, 4, 5, and 6. Temporary Housing is the number of households that accessed assistance, and left their current housing for a non-permanent housing arrangement, as reported under item: 2. Unstable Situations is the sum of numbers reported under items: 1, 7, and 8.

Permanent Facility-Based Housing Assistance: Stable Housing is the sum of the number of households that (i) remain in the housing and (ii) those that left the assistance as shown as items: 3, 4, 5, and 6. Temporary Housing is the number of households that accessed assistance, and left their current housing for a non-permanent housing arrangement, as reported under item 2. Unstable Situations is the sum of numbers reported under items: 1, 7, and 8.

Transitional/Short-Term Facility-Based Housing Assistance: Stable Housing is the sum of the number of households that (i) continue in the residences (ii) those that left the assistance as shown as items: 3, 4, 5, and 6. Other Temporary Housing is the number of households that accessed assistance, and left their current housing for a non-permanent housing arrangement, as reported under item 2. Unstable Situations is the sum of numbers reported under items: 1, 7, and 8.

Tenure Assessment. A baseline of households in transitional/short-term facilities for assessment purposes, indicate the number of households whose tenure exceeded 24 months.

STRMU Assistance: Stable Housing is the sum of the number of households that accessed assistance for some portion of the permitted 21-week period and there is reasonable expectation that additional support is not needed in order to maintain permanent housing living situation (as this is a time-limited form of housing support) as reported under housing status: Maintain Private Housing with subsidy; Other Private with Subsidy; Other HOPWA support; Other Housing Subsidy; and Institution. Temporarily Stable, with Reduced Risk of Homelessness is the sum of the number of households that accessed assistance for some portion of the permitted 21-week period or left their current housing arrangement for a transitional facility or other temporary/non-permanent housing arrangement and there is reasonable expectation additional support will be needed to maintain housing arrangements in the next year, as reported under housing status: Likely to maintain current housing arrangements, with additional STRMU assistance; Transitional Facilities/Short-term; and Temporary/Non-Permanent Housing arrangements. Unstable Situation is the sum of number of households reported under housing status: Emergency Shelter; Jail/Prison; and Disconnected.

End of PART 5

PART 6: Annual Certification of Continued Usage for HOPWA Facility-Based Stewardship Units (ONLY)

The Annual Certification of Usage for HOPWA Facility-Based Stewardship Units is to be used in place of Part 7B of the CAPER if the facility was originally acquired, rehabilitated or constructed/developed in part with HOPWA funds but no HOPWA funds were expended during the operating year. Scattered site units may be grouped together on one page.

Grantees that used HOPWA funding for new construction, acquisition, or substantial rehabilitation are required to operate their facilities for HOPWA eligible individuals for at least ten (10) years. If non-substantial rehabilitation funds were used they are required to operate for at least three (3) years. Stewardship begins once the facility is put into operation.

Note: See definition of Stewardship Units.

1. General information

HUD Grant Number(s)	Operating Year for this report From (mm/dd/yy) To (mm/dd/yy) <input type="checkbox"/> Final Yr <input type="checkbox"/> Yr 1; <input type="checkbox"/> Yr 2; <input type="checkbox"/> Yr 3; <input type="checkbox"/> Yr 4; <input type="checkbox"/> Yr 5; <input type="checkbox"/> Yr 6; <input type="checkbox"/> Yr 7; <input type="checkbox"/> Yr 8; <input type="checkbox"/> Yr 9; <input type="checkbox"/> Yr 10;
Grantee Name	Date Facility Began Operations (mm/dd/yy)

2. Number of Units and Non-HOPWA Expenditures

Facility Name:	Number of Stewardship Units Developed with HOPWA funds	Amount of Non-HOPWA Funds Expended in Support of the Stewardship Units during the Operating Year
Total Stewardship Units (subject to 3- or 10- year use periods)		

3. Details of Project Site

Project Sites: Name of HOPWA-funded project	
Site Information: Project Zip Code(s)	
Site Information: Congressional District(s)	
Is the address of the project site confidential?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes, protect information; do not list <input type="checkbox"/> Not confidential; information can be made available to the public
If the site is not confidential: Please provide the contact information, phone, email address/location, if business address is different from facility address	

I certify that the facility that received assistance for acquisition, rehabilitation, or new construction from the Housing Opportunities for Persons with AIDS Program has operated as a facility to assist HOPWA-eligible persons from the date shown above. I also certify that the grant is still serving the planned number of HOPWA-eligible households at this facility through leveraged resources and all other requirements of the grant agreement are being satisfied.

<i>I hereby certify that all the information stated herein, as well as any information provided in the accompaniment herewith, is true and accurate.</i>	
Name & Title of Authorized Official of the organization that continues to operate the facility:	Signature & Date (mm/dd/yy)
Name & Title of Contact at Grantee Agency (person who can answer questions about the report and program)	Contact Phone (with area code)

End of PART 6

Part 7: Summary Overview of Grant Activities**A. Information on Individuals, Beneficiaries, and Households Receiving HOPWA Housing Subsidy Assistance (TBRA, STRMU, Facility-Based Units, Permanent Housing Placement and Master Leased Units ONLY)**

Note: Reporting for this section should include ONLY those individuals, beneficiaries, or households that received and/or resided in a household that received HOPWA Housing Subsidy Assistance as reported in Part 3, Chart 1, Row 7, Column b. (e.g., do not include households that received HOPWA supportive services ONLY).

Section 1. HOPWA-Eligible Individuals who Received HOPWA Housing Subsidy Assistance**a. Total HOPWA Eligible Individuals Living with HIV/AIDS**

In Chart a., provide the total number of eligible (and unduplicated) low-income individuals living with HIV/AIDS who qualified their household to receive HOPWA housing subsidy assistance during the operating year. This total should include only the individual who qualified the household for HOPWA assistance, NOT all HIV positive individuals in the household.

Individuals Served with Housing Subsidy Assistance	Total
Number of individuals with HIV/AIDS who qualified their household to receive HOPWA housing subsidy assistance.	161

Chart b. Prior Living Situation

In Chart b., report the prior living situations for all Eligible Individuals reported in Chart a. In Row 1, report the total number of individuals who continued to receive HOPWA housing subsidy assistance from the prior operating year into this operating year. In Rows 2 through 17, indicate the prior living arrangements for all new HOPWA housing subsidy assistance recipients during the operating year.

Data Check: *The total number of eligible individuals served in Row 18 equals the total number of individuals served through housing subsidy assistance reported in Chart a. above.*

Category		Total HOPWA Eligible Individuals Receiving Housing Subsidy Assistance
1.	<u>Continuing</u> to receive HOPWA support from the prior operating year	67
New Individuals who received HOPWA Housing Subsidy Assistance support during Operating Year		
2.	Place not meant for human habitation (such as a vehicle, abandoned building, bus/train/subway station/airport, or outside)	2
3.	Emergency shelter (including hotel, motel, or campground paid for with emergency shelter voucher)	2
4.	Transitional housing for homeless persons	0
5.	Total number of new Eligible Individuals who received HOPWA Housing Subsidy Assistance with a Prior Living Situation that meets HUD definition of homelessness (Sum of Rows 2 – 4)	4
6.	Permanent housing for formerly homeless persons (such as Shelter Plus Care, SHP, or SRO Mod Rehab)	0
7.	Psychiatric hospital or other psychiatric facility	0
8.	Substance abuse treatment facility or detox center	0
9.	Hospital (non-psychiatric facility)	0
10.	Foster care home or foster care group home	0
11.	Jail, prison or juvenile detention facility	5
12.	Rented room, apartment, or house	51
13.	House you own	10
14.	Staying or living in someone else's (family and friends) room, apartment, or house	23
15.	Hotel or motel paid for without emergency shelter voucher	1
16.	Other	0
17.	Don't Know or Refused	0
18.	TOTAL Number of HOPWA Eligible Individuals (sum of Rows 1 and 5-17)	161

c. Homeless Individual Summary

In Chart c., indicate the number of eligible individuals reported in Chart b., Row 5 as homeless who also are homeless Veterans and/or meet the definition for Chronically Homeless (See Definition section of CAPER). The totals in Chart c. do not need to equal the total in Chart b., Row 5.

Category	Number of Homeless Veteran(s)	Number of Chronically Homeless
HOPWA eligible individuals served with HOPWA Housing Subsidy Assistance	0	3

Section 2. Beneficiaries

In Chart a., report the total number of HOPWA eligible individuals living with HIV/AIDS who received HOPWA housing subsidy assistance (*as reported in Part 7A, Section 1, Chart a.*), and all associated members of their household who benefitted from receiving HOPWA housing subsidy assistance (resided with HOPWA eligible individuals).

Note: See definition of HOPWA Eligible Individual

Note: See definition of Transgender.

Note: See definition of Beneficiaries.

Data Check: The sum of each of the Charts b. & c. on the following two pages equals the total number of beneficiaries served with HOPWA housing subsidy assistance as determined in Chart a., Row 4 below.

a. Total Number of Beneficiaries Served with HOPWA Housing Subsidy Assistance

Individuals and Families Served with HOPWA Housing Subsidy Assistance	Total Number
1. Number of individuals with HIV/AIDS who qualified the household to receive HOPWA housing subsidy assistance (equals the number of HOPWA Eligible Individuals reported in Part 7A, Section 1, Chart a.)	161
2. Number of ALL other persons diagnosed as HIV positive who reside with the HOPWA eligible individuals identified in Row 1 and who benefitted from the HOPWA housing subsidy assistance	8
3. Number of ALL other persons NOT diagnosed as HIV positive who reside with the HOPWA eligible individual identified in Row 1 and who benefitted from the HOPWA housing subsidy	110
4. TOTAL number of ALL <u>beneficiaries</u> served with Housing Subsidy Assistance (Sum of Rows 1,2, & 3)	279

b. Age and Gender

In Chart b., indicate the Age and Gender of all beneficiaries as reported in Chart a. directly above. Report the Age and Gender of all HOPWA Eligible Individuals (those reported in Chart a., Row 1) using Rows 1-5 below and the Age and Gender of all other beneficiaries (those reported in Chart a., Rows 2 and 3) using Rows 6-10 below. The number of individuals reported in Row 11, Column E. equals the total number of beneficiaries reported in Part 7, Section 2, Chart a., Row 4.

HOPWA Eligible Individuals (Chart a, Row 1)						
		A.	B.	C.	D.	E.
		Male	Female	Transgender M to F	Transgender F to M	TOTAL (Sum of Columns A-D)
1.	Under 18	0	0	0	0	0
2.	18 to 30 years	8	8	0	0	16
3.	31 to 50 years	78	29	0	0	107
4.	51 years and Older	21	16	1	0	38
5.	Subtotal (Sum of Rows 1-4)	107	53	1	0	161
All Other Beneficiaries (Chart a, Rows 2 and 3)						
		A.	B.	C.	D.	E.
		Male	Female	Transgender M to F	Transgender F to M	TOTAL (Sum of Columns A-D)
6.	Under 18	28	38	0	0	66
7.	18 to 30 years	9	13	0	0	22
8.	31 to 50 years	10	11	0	0	21
9.	51 years and Older	2	7	0	0	9
10.	Subtotal (Sum of Rows 6-9)	49	69	0	0	118
Total Beneficiaries (Chart a, Row 4)						
11.	TOTAL (Sum of Rows 5 & 10)	156	122	1	0	279

c. Race and Ethnicity*

In Chart c., indicate the Race and Ethnicity of all beneficiaries receiving HOPWA Housing Subsidy Assistance as reported in Section 2, Chart a., Row 4. Report the race of all HOPWA eligible individuals in Column [A]. Report the ethnicity of all HOPWA eligible individuals in column [B]. Report the race of all other individuals who benefitted from the HOPWA housing subsidy assistance in column [C]. Report the ethnicity of all other individuals who benefitted from the HOPWA housing subsidy assistance in column [D]. The summed total of columns [A] and [C] equals the total number of beneficiaries reported above in Section 2, Chart a., Row 4.

Category		HOPWA Eligible Individuals		All Other Beneficiaries	
		[A] Race [all individuals reported in Section 2, Chart a., Row 1]	[B] Ethnicity [Also identified as Hispanic or Latino]	[C] Race [total of individuals reported in Section 2, Chart a., Rows 2 & 3]	[D] Ethnicity [Also identified as Hispanic or Latino]
1.	American Indian/Alaskan Native	0	0	0	0
2.	Asian	0	0	0	0
3.	Black/African American	80	0	69	0
4.	Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0
5.	White	79	8	47	6
6.	American Indian/Alaskan Native & White	0	0	0	0
7.	Asian & White	1	0	0	0
8.	Black/African American & White	0	0	2	0
9.	American Indian/Alaskan Native & Black/African American	1	0	0	0
10.	Other Multi-Racial	0	0	0	0
11.	Column Totals (Sum of Rows 1-10)	161	8	118	6

Data Check: Sum of Row 11 Column A and Row 11 Column C equals the total number HOPWA Beneficiaries reported in Part 3A, Section 2, Chart a., Row 4.

*Reference (data requested consistent with Form HUD-27061 Race and Ethnic Data Reporting Form)

Section 3. Households

Household Area Median Income

Report the area median income(s) for all households served with HOPWA housing subsidy assistance.

Data Check: The total number of households served with HOPWA housing subsidy assistance should equal Part 3C, Row 7, Column b and Part 7A, Section 1, Chart a. (Total HOPWA Eligible Individuals Served with HOPWA Housing Subsidy Assistance).

Note: Refer to http://www.huduser.org/portal/datasets/il/il2010/select_Geography_mfi.odn for information on area median income in your community.

Percentage of Area Median Income		Households Served with HOPWA Housing Subsidy Assistance
1.	0-30% of area median income (extremely low)	119
2.	31-50% of area median income (very low)	34
3.	51-80% of area median income (low)	8
4.	Total (Sum of Rows 1-3)	161

Part 7: Summary Overview of Grant Activities
B. Facility-Based Housing Assistance

Complete one Part 7B for each facility developed or supported through HOPWA funds.

Do not complete this Section for programs originally developed with HOPWA funds but no longer supported with HOPWA funds. If a facility was developed with HOPWA funds (subject to ten years of operation for acquisition, new construction and substantial rehabilitation costs of stewardship units, or three years for non-substantial rehabilitation costs), but HOPWA funds are no longer used to support the facility, the project sponsor or subrecipient should complete Part 6: Annual Certification of Continued Usage for HOPWA Facility-Based Stewardship Units (ONLY).

Complete Charts 2a., Project Site Information, and 2b., Type of HOPWA Capital Development Project Units, for all Development Projects, including facilities that were past development projects, but continued to receive HOPWA operating dollars this reporting year.

1. Project Sponsor/Subrecipient Agency Name (Required)

--

2. Capital Development

2a. Project Site Information for HOPWA Capital Development of Projects (For Current or Past Capital Development Projects that receive HOPWA Operating Costs this reporting year)

Note: If units are scattered-sites, report on them as a group and under type of Facility write "Scattered Sites."

	Type of Development this operating year	HOPWA Funds Expended this operating year (if applicable)	Non-HOPWA funds Expended (if applicable)	Name of Facility:
	<input type="checkbox"/> New construction	\$	\$	Type of Facility [Check <u>only one</u> box.] <input type="checkbox"/> Permanent housing <input type="checkbox"/> Short-term Shelter or Transitional housing <input type="checkbox"/> Supportive services only facility
	<input type="checkbox"/> Rehabilitation	\$	\$	
	<input type="checkbox"/> Acquisition	\$	\$	
	<input type="checkbox"/> Operating	\$	\$	
a.	Purchase/lease of property:			Date (mm/dd/yy):
b.	Rehabilitation/Construction Dates:			Date started: Date Completed:
c.	Operation dates:			Date residents began to occupy: <input type="checkbox"/> Not yet occupied
d.	Date supportive services began:			Date started: <input type="checkbox"/> Not yet providing services
e.	Number of units in the facility:			HOPWA-funded units = Total Units =
f.	Is a waiting list maintained for the facility?			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <i>If yes, number of participants on the list at the end of operating year</i>
g.	What is the address of the facility (if different from business address)?			
h.	Is the address of the project site confidential?			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes, protect information; do not publish list <input type="checkbox"/> No, can be made available to the public

2b. Number and Type of HOPWA Capital Development Project Units (For Current or Past Capital Development Projects that receive HOPWA Operating Costs this Reporting Year)

For units entered above in 2a. please list the number of HOPWA units that fulfill the following criteria:

	Number Designated for the Chronically Homeless	Number Designated to Assist the Homeless	Number Energy-Star Compliant	Number 504 Accessible
Rental units constructed (new) and/or acquired with or without rehab				
Rental units rehabbed				
Homeownership units constructed (if approved)				

3. Units Assisted in Types of Housing Facility/Units Leased by Project Sponsor or Subrecipient

Charts 3a., 3b. and 4 are required for each facility. In Charts 3a. and 3b., indicate the type and number of housing units in the facility, including master leased units, project-based or other scattered site units leased by the organization, categorized by the number of bedrooms per unit.

Note: The number units may not equal the total number of households served.

Please complete separate charts for each housing facility assisted. Scattered site units may be grouped together.

3a. Check one only

- Permanent Supportive Housing Facility/Units
- Short-term Shelter or Transitional Supportive Housing Facility/Units

3b. Type of Facility

Complete the following Chart for all facilities leased, master leased, project-based, or operated with HOPWA funds during the reporting year.

Name of Project Sponsor/Agency Operating the Facility/Leased Units:

Type of housing facility operated by the project sponsor/subrecipient		Total Number of <u>Units</u> in use during the Operating Year Categorized by the Number of Bedrooms per Units				
		SRO/Studio/0 bdrm	1 bdrm	2 bdrm	3 bdrm	4 bdrm
a.	Single room occupancy dwelling					
b.	Community residence					
c.	Project-based rental assistance units or leased units					
d.	Other housing facility <u>Specify:</u>					

4. Households and Housing Expenditures

Enter the total number of households served and the amount of HOPWA funds expended by the project sponsor/subrecipient on subsidies for housing involving the use of facilities, master leased units, project based or other scattered site units leased by the organization.

Housing Assistance Category: Facility Based Housing		Output: Number of Households	Output: Total HOPWA Funds Expended during Operating Year by Project Sponsor/subrecipient
a.	Leasing Costs		
b.	Operating Costs		
c.	Project-Based Rental Assistance (PBRA) or other leased units		
d.	Other Activity (if approved in grant agreement) <u>Specify:</u>		
e.	Adjustment to eliminate duplication (subtract)		
f.	TOTAL Facility-Based Housing Assistance (Sum Rows a. through d. minus Row e.)		

Arkansas Democrat Gazette

STATEMENT OF LEGAL ADVERTISING

AEDC-AR ECONOMIC DEV COMM
900 W CAPITOL AVE.,
LITTLE ROCK AR 72201

REMIT TO:
ARKANSAS DEMOCRAT-GAZETTE, INC.
P.O. BOX 2221
LITTLE ROCK, AR 72203

ATTN: Dottie Boyles
DATE : 09/28/14 INVOICE #: 2940270
ACCT #: 5443536 P.O. #: 140172

BILLING QUESTIONS CALL 378-3812

STATE OF ARKANSAS, }
COUNTY OF PULASKI, } ss.



I, Annette Holcombe do solemnly swear that I am the Legal Billing Clerk of the Arkansas Democrat - Gazette, a daily newspaper printed and published in said County, State of Arkansas; that I was so related to this publication at and during the publication of the annexed legal advertisement in the matter of:

Notice

pending in the Court, in said County, and at the dates of the several publications of said advertisement stated below, and that during said periods and at said dates, said newspaper was printed and had a bona fide circulation in said County; that said newspaper had been regularly printed and published in said County, and had a bona fide circulation therein for the period of one month before the date of the first publication of said advertisement; and that said advertisement was published in the regular daily issues of said newspaper as stated below.

DATE	DAY	LINAGE	RATE	DATE	DAY	LINAGE	RATE
09/28	Sun	106	1.45				

TOTAL COST ----- 153.70
Billing Ad #: 72823874


Subscribed and sworn to me this 29
day of Sept, 2014

Notary Public

Public Notice
The Arkansas Consolidated Plan Committee has prepared the Consolidated Annual Performance and Evaluation Report for the Program Year July 1, 2013 through June 30, 2014. The draft of the report is available for public review and comment. Copies may be obtained for review from the Arkansas Economic Development Commission (AEDC), Grants Division at 900 W. Capitol, Suite 400, Little Rock, Arkansas 72201. Copies may also be obtained by calling telephone number 501-682-1211, or by reviewing the document on our website at www.arkansasedc.com. Written comments may be addressed to AEDC at the address listed above or faxed to 501-682-7499. Comments will be received between September 28, 2014 through 4:00 p.m. October 13, 2014.
Arkansas Economic Development Commission
Business Division
900 W. Capitol, Suite 400
Little Rock, Arkansas 72201
72823874f